Sojourn time in $\mathbb{Z}^+$ for the Bernoulli random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$

Aimé LACHAL *

Université de Lyon, CNRS
INSA-Lyon, ICJ, UMR5208, F-69621, France

arXiv:1003.5009v1 [math.PR] 25 Mar 2010

Abstract

Let $(S_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$ be the classical Bernoulli random walk on the integer line with jump parameters $p \in (0,1)$ and $q = 1-p$. The probability distribution of the sojourn time of the walk in the set of non-negative integers up to a fixed time is well-known, but its expression is not simple. By modifying slightly this sojourn time–through a particular counting process of the zeros of the walk as done by Chung & Feller ["On fluctuations in coin-tossings", Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 605–608]–, simpler representations may be obtained for its probability distribution. In the aforementioned article, only the symmetric case ($p = q = 1/2$) is considered. This is the discrete counterpart to the famous Paul Lévy's arcsine law for Brownian motion.

In the present paper, we write out a representation for this probability distribution in the general case together with others related to the random walk subject to a possible conditioning. The main tool is the use of generating functions.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: primary 60G50; 60J22;
secondary 60J10; 60E10.
Key words: random walk, sojourn time, generating function.

*Postal adress: Institut National des Sciences Appliquées de Lyon
Pôle de Mathématiques/Institut Camille Jordan
Bâtiment Léonard de Vinci, 20 avenue Albert Einstein
69621 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
E-mail: aime.lachal@insa-lyon.fr
Web page: http://maths.insa-lyon.fr/~lachal

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Settings and mathematical background **4**

2.1 Some preliminary identities 4

2.2 Some well-known identities on random walks 5

2.3 Purpose of the article 7

3 A recurrence relationship **8**

4 The case $F = \{j\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ **10**

4.1 Generating function 10

4.2 Distribution of the sojourn time 11

5 The case $F = \mathbb{Z}$ (random walk without conditioning) **12**

5.1 Generating function 12

5.2 Distribution of the sojourn time 14

6 The case $F = \{0\}$ (bridge of the random walk) **25**

6.1 Generating function 25

6.2 Distribution of the sojourn time 26

7 The cases $F = \mathbb{Z}^{+*}$ and $F = \mathbb{Z}^{-*}$ **27**

7.1 Generating function 27

7.2 Distribution of the sojourn time 29

8 Examples **30**

8.1 Case $n = 1$ 30

8.2 Case $n = 2$ 30

8.3 Case $n = 3$ 31

8.4 Case $n = 4$ 31

8.5 Case $n = 5$ 31

8.6 Case $n = 6$ 32

8.7 Case $n = 7$ 33

8.8 Case $n = 8$ 35

9 Asymptotics: Brownian motion with a linear drift **36**

9.1 Rescaled random walk 37

9.2 Limiting distribution of the sojourn time 38

10 Appendix **42**

1 Introduction

Let $(X_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$ be a sequence of Bernoulli random variables with parameters $p = \mathbb{P}\{X_k = 1\} \in (0,1)$ and $q = 1-p = \mathbb{P}\{X_k = -1\}$, and $(S_k)_{k\geqslant 0}$ be the random walk defined on the set of integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ as $S_k = S_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j$, $k \geqslant 1$ with initial location S_0. For brevity, we write $\mathbb{P}_i = \mathbb{P}\{\dots | S_0 = i\}$ and $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{P}$.

The probability distribution of the sojourn time of the walk $(S_k)_{k\geqslant 0}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \mathbb{Z}\cap[0,+\infty)$ up to a fixed step $n \geqslant 1$, $N_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(S_j) = \#\{j \in \{0,\dots,n\} : S_j \geqslant 0\}$, is well-known. A representation for this probability distribution can be derived with the aid of Sparre Andersen's theorem (see [7, 8] and, e.g., [9, Chap. IV, §20]). This latter can be stated according as the remarkable relationship, setting $N_0 = 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{N_n = k\} = \mathbb{P}\{N_k = k\}\mathbb{P}\{N_{n-k} = 0\} \text{ for } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$$

where the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{N_k = 0\}$ and $\mathbb{P}\{N_k = k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are implicitly known through their generating functions:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{N_k = 0\} z^k = \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_k < 0\}\, \frac{z^k}{k}\right],$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{N_k = k\} z^k = \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_k \geqslant 0\}\, \frac{z^k}{k}\right].$$

Nevertheless, the result is not so simple. Rescaling the random walk and passing to the limit, we get the most famous Paul Levy's arcsine law for Brownian motion.

By modifying slightly the counting process of the positive terms of the random walk as done by Chung & Feller (see [3] and, e.g., [5, Chap. III, §4] and [6, Chap. 8, §11]), an alternative sojourn time of the walk $(S_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^+$ up to n can be defined as $T_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j$ with

$$\delta_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (S_j > 0) \text{ or } (S_j = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1} > 0), \\ 0 & \text{if } (S_j < 0) \text{ or } (S_j = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1} < 0). \end{cases}$$

We put $T_0 = 0$. We obviously have $0 \leqslant T_n \leqslant n$. In T_n, $n \geqslant 1$, one counts each step j such that $S_j > 0$ and only those steps such that $S_j = 0$ which correspond to a downstep: $S_{j-1} = 1$. This convention is described in [3] (and, e.g., in [5] and [6]) in the symmetric case $p = q = 1/2$ when n is an even integer and, as written in [3]– *"The elegance of the results to be announced depends on this convention"* (*sic*)–, it produces a remarkable result. Indeed, in this case, the sojourn time is even and its probability distribution takes the simple following form: for even integers k such that $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, as in Sparre Andersen's theorem,

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_n = k\} = \mathbb{P}\{T_k = k\}\mathbb{P}\{T_{n-k} = 0\} = \frac{1}{2^n}\binom{k}{k/2}\binom{(n-k)}{(n-k)/2}.$$

In this paper, we derive explicit expressions for the probability distribution of T_n in any case, that is for any $p \in (0,1)$ and any integer (even or odd) $n \geqslant 1$. The main results are displayed in Theorems 4.2 and 5.3. We also compute the distribution of T_n under various constraints at the last step: $S_n = 0$, $S_n > 0$ or $S_n < 0$. The constraint $S_n = 0$ (with $S_n = 0$) corresponds to the bridge of the random walk. The related results are respectively included in Theorems 6.2 and 7.3. We examine in details several examples corresponding to the cases $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 8\}$. The main tool for this study is the use of generating functions together with clever algebra. The intermediate results are contained in Theorems 4.1, 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1. For several results, we find it interesting to produce two or three proofs. Certain are direct while others rely on recursive properties.

Finally, be rescaling suitably the random walk, we retrieve the distribution of the sojourn time in $(0, +\infty)$ for the Brownian motion with a possible drift. This includes of course the famous Paul Lévy's arcsine law for undrifted Brownian motion.

Although this problem is old and classical, we are surprised not to have found any related reference in the literature.

2 Settings and mathematical background

2.1 Some preliminary identities

Let $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the usual set of non-negative integers, $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{Z}^{+*} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ that of positive integers, $\mathbb{Z}^{-*} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1\}$ that of negative integers and $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ denote the set of even non-negative integers, $\mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ the set of even positive integers and $\mathcal{O} = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ the set of odd positive integers. Set, for suitable real z,

$$A(z) = \sqrt{1 - 4z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}(z) = \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}.$$

Set also for $i \in \mathcal{E}$

$$a_i = \frac{1}{i+2}\binom{i}{i/2} = \frac{1}{4(i+1)}\binom{i+2}{(i+2)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_i = (i+2)a_i = \binom{i}{i/2}.$$

We shall make use of the following elementary identities.

Proposition 2.1 *For any z such that $|z| < 1/2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) = \sqrt{1-4z^2} &= -\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{i-1}\binom{i}{i/2} z^i = 1 - 4\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}^*} a_{i-2} z^i, \\ \frac{1}{A(z)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z^2}} &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \binom{i}{i/2} z^i = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} b_i z^i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We have the following convolution relationships.

Proposition 2.2 *For any even integer $i \geqslant 0$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j} = \frac{1}{2}\, a_{i+2} \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i}} a_j b_{i-j} = \frac{1}{4}\, b_{i+2}. \tag{2.2}$$

PROOF. The generating function of the left-hand side of the first equality in (2.2) can be evaluated as

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)z^i = \Big(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_i z^i\Big)^2 = \Big(\frac{1-A(z)}{4z^2}\Big)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{8z^4}(1-2z^2-A(z)) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_{i+2}z^i.$$

By identification of the coefficients of the foregoing generating functions, we immediately obtained the first equality in (2.2). Analogously, for the second equality in (2.2),

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j b_{i-j}\Big)z^i = \Big(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_i z^i\Big)\Big(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} b_i z^i\Big) = \frac{1-A(z)}{4z^2A(z)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4z^2A(z)} - \frac{1}{4z^2} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} b_{i+2}z^i$$

and the second equality in (2.2) holds. ■

We shall also use the identity below.

Proposition 2.3 *For any x and y such that $|x|<1/2$ and $|y|<1/2$,*

$$\frac{1}{A(x)+A(y)} = \sum_{i,j\in\mathcal{E}} a_{i+j}\, x^i y^j. \tag{2.3}$$

PROOF. Let us write $\dfrac{1}{A(x)+A(y)} = \dfrac{A(x)-A(y)}{4(y^2-x^2)}$. On one hand,

$$A(x)-A(y) = 4\sum_{k\in\mathcal{E}} a_k(y^{k+2}-x^{k+2}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{y^{k+2}-x^{k+2}}{y^2-x^2} = \sum_{\substack{i,j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i+j=k}} x^i y^j.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{A(x)+A(y)} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{E}} a_k \sum_{\substack{i,j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i+j=k}} x^i y^j = \sum_{i,j\in\mathcal{E}} a_{i+j}\, x^i y^j.$$

■

2.2 Some well-known identities on random walks

Here, we recall several well-known formulas in the theory of random walks. We refer, e.g., to [9]. We have, for $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|j|\leqslant k$ and $k-j\in\mathcal{E}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k=j\} = \binom{k}{(j+k)/2} p^{(j+k)/2} q^{(k-j)/2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Using the representation $\binom{k}{(k+1)/2} = b_{k+1}/2$, we have in particular

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k = 0\} = \begin{cases} b_k (pq)^{k/2} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k = 1\} = \mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_k = 0\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\, b_{k+1}\, p^{(k+1)/2} q^{(k-1)/2} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k = -1\} = \mathbb{P}_{1}\{S_k = 0\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\, b_{k+1}\, p^{(k-1)/2} q^{(k+1)/2} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We define several generating functions. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let H_j be the generating function of the $\mathbb{P}\{S_k = j\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, H_i^F be the generating function of the $\mathbb{P}_i\{S_k \in F\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$H_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j\} z^k \quad \text{and} \quad H_i^F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i\{S_k \in F\} z^k = \sum_{j \in F - i} H_j(z) \tag{2.6}$$

where $F - i$ is the set of the numbers of the form $j - i, i \in F$. We explicitly have

$$H_j(z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left(\dfrac{1 - \mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz} \right)^j = \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left(\dfrac{2pz}{1 + \mathbf{A}(z)} \right)^j & \text{if } j \geqslant 0, \\ \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left(\dfrac{1 - \mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz} \right)^{|j|} = \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left(\dfrac{2qz}{1 + \mathbf{A}(z)} \right)^{|j|} & \text{if } j \leqslant 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

We need to introduce the first hitting time of a level $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the random walk: $\tau_a = \min\{n \geqslant 1 : S_n = a\}$. The probability distribution of τ_a for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ can be expressed by means of the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{S_k = a\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, according as

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_a = k\} = \frac{|a|}{k} \mathbb{P}\{S_k = a\} = \frac{|a|}{k} \binom{k}{(k+a)/2} p^{(k+a)/2} q^{(k-a)/2} \text{ for } k \geqslant |a|. \tag{2.8}$$

In some particular cases, we have for $k \in \mathcal{E}^*$:

$$\pi_k = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = k\} = \frac{1}{k-1} \binom{k}{k/2} (pq)^{k/2}$$

(and we set $\pi_0 = 0$ and $\pi_k = 0$ for $k \in \mathcal{O}$) and for $k \in \mathcal{O}$:

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = k\} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{k}{(k+1)/2} p^{(k+1)/2} q^{(k-1)/2},$$
$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} = k\} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{k}{(k+1)/2} p^{(k-1)/2} q^{(k+1)/2}.$$

We sum up these formulas as follows:

- for $k \in \mathcal{E}^*$,
$$\pi_k = 4 a_{k-2} (pq)^{k/2}; \tag{2.9}$$
- for $k \in \mathcal{O}$,
$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = k\} = \mathbb{P}_{-1}\{\tau_0 = k\} = \frac{1}{2q}\, \pi_{k+1} = 2p\, a_{k-1} (pq)^{(k-1)/2},$$
$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} = k\} = \mathbb{P}_{1}\{\tau_0 = k\} = \frac{1}{2p}\, \pi_{k+1} = 2q\, a_{k-1} (pq)^{(k-1)/2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Remark 2.4 The convolution identities (2.2) can be interpreted as Darling-Siegert-type equations (see, e.g., [4]) which are due to the Markov property of the random walk. More precisely, the second identity of (2.2) is the analytic form of the probabilistic equality

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n = 0\} = \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j\}\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-j} = 0\}$$

which is obtained by remarking that a trajectory starting at zero and terminating at zero at time n necessarily passes through zero at a time equal to or less than n and then $\tau_0 \leqslant n$. The first identity of (2.2) is the analytic form of the probabilistic equality

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_2 = n\} = \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n-1}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = j\}\mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = n-j\}$$

which is obtained by observing that a trajectory starting at zero and passing at level two at time n for the first time necessarily crosses level one at a time less than n: $\tau_1 < n$. ■

The corresponding generating functions K_a defined as

$$K_a(z) = \mathbb{E}(z^{\tau_a}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_a = k\} z^k$$

are explicitly expressed by

$$K_a(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz}\right)^a & \text{if } a \geqslant 1, \\ \left(\dfrac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz}\right)^{|a|} & \text{if } a \leqslant -1, \\ 1-\mathbf{A}(z) & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

We state a last elementary result. Noticing, by the Markov property, that $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j, S_1 > 0\}$ $= p\,\mathbb{P}_1\{\tau_0 = j-1\}$ and $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j, S_1 < 0\} = q\,\mathbb{P}_1\{\tau_0 = j-1\}$, we get, by (2.10),

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j, S_1 > 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j, S_1 < 0\} = \frac{1}{2}\,\pi_j. \tag{2.12}$$

2.3 Purpose of the article

The aim of this paper is to compute the probabilities

$$r_{k,n}^F = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k, S_n \in F\}, 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n,$$

in the four cases $F = \mathbb{Z}$, $F = \{0\}$, $F = \mathbb{Z}^{+*}$ and $F = \mathbb{Z}^{-*}$. The corresponding results are displayed in Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1. For this, we write out a recurrence relation (see Proposition 3.1) from which we derive the result. We provide two approaches: one hinges on a method by induction, the other–which is more constructive–consists of first calculating the generating function G_F of the $r_{k,n}^F$'s:

$$G^F(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}} r_{k,n}^F\, x^k y^{n-k} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\left(x^{T_n} y^{n-T_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{S_n\in F\}}\right)$$

and next of inverting this function. Notice that $r_{0,0}^F = \mathbb{1}_F(0)$ and for $n \geqslant 1$, the events $\{T_n = 0\}$ and $\{T_n = n\}$ respectively coincide with $\{S_1 \leqslant 0, \ldots, S_n \leqslant 0\} = \{\tau_1 > n\}$ and $\{S_1 \geqslant 0, \ldots, S_n \geqslant 0\} = \{\tau_{-1} > n\}$. Therefore, for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$r_{0,n}^F = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 > n, S_n \in F\} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{n,n}^F = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > n, S_n \in F\}. \tag{2.13}$$

The particular probabilities $r^F_{0,n}$ and $r^F_{n,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are generated by the partial functions of G^F, namely

$$G^F(x,0) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} r^F_{n,n}\, x^k \quad \text{and} \quad G^F(0,y) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} r^F_{0,n}\, y^n. \tag{2.14}$$

3 A recurrence relationship

As in [3], we state the recursive relationship below.

Proposition 3.1 *The following relationship holds for* $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$*:*

$$r^F_{k,n} = \frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \pi_j\, r^F_{k-j,n-j} + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r^F_{k,n-j}\Big]. \tag{3.1}$$

For $k=0$ *and* $k=n$*, the corresponding* $r^F_{k,n}$ *admit the following representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} r^F_{0,n} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n \in F\} - \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n}} \pi_{j+1}\mathbb{P}_1\{S_{n-j}\in F\},\\ r^F_{n,n} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n \in F\} - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n}} \pi_{j+1}\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j}\in F\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\pi_j = 4a_{j-2}(pq)^{j/2}$*.*

Proof. Let us consider the event $\{T_n = k\}$. If $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, the random walk passes through level 0 before the step n, that is $1 \leqslant \tau_0 \leqslant n-1$. Then $T_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau_0} \delta_j + T'_{k,n}$ where $T'_{k,n} = \sum_{j=\tau_0+1}^{n} \delta_j$. Now, if the first step is positive ($S_1 > 0$), then $T_n = \tau_0 + T'_{k,n}$ and if the first step is negative ($S_1 < 0$), then $T_n = T'_{k,n}$. This discussion yields, with the aid of the Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned} r^F_{k,n} &= \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k, S_n \in F, 1 \leqslant \tau_0 \leqslant n-1\} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k, S_n \in F, \tau_0 = j\}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j, S_1 > 0\}\mathbb{P}\{T_{n-j} = k-j, S_{n-j}\in F\}\\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 = j, S_1 < 0\}\mathbb{P}\{T_{n-j} = k, S_{n-j}\in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that (3.1) holds thanks to (2.12).

For the cases $k=0$ and $k=n$, by (2.13), the probabilities of interest can be expressed as follows:

$$r^F_{0,n} = \mathbb{P}\{S_n\in F\} - \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 \leqslant n, S_n \in F\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_n\in F\} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = j\}\mathbb{P}_1\{S_{n-j}\in F\},$$

$$r^F_{n,n} = \mathbb{P}\{S_n\in F\} - \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} \leqslant n, S_n \in F\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_n\in F\} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} = j\}\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j}\in F\}.$$

In view of (2.10), this proves (3.2). ■

The relationships (3.1) and (3.2) allow to exhibit an explicit expression for G^F.

Theorem 3.2 *The generating function G^F can be written as*

$$G^F(x,y) = \frac{[1+\mathbf{A}(x)]G^F(x,0) + [1+\mathbf{A}(y)]G^F(0,y) - 2\mathbb{1}_F(0)}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z) = \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}$. The quantities $G^F(x,0)$ and $G^F(0,y)$ can be expressed as

$$G^F(x,0) = H_0^F(x) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px} H_{-1}^F(x) \quad \textit{and} \quad G^F(0,y) = H_0^F(y) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(y)}{2qy} H_1^F(x) \tag{3.4}$$

where H_0^F, H_1^F and H_{-1}^F are defined by (2.6).

Proof. We decompose the sum defining G^F into four parts:

$$\begin{aligned} G^F(x,y) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} r_{0,n}^F y^n + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} r_{n,n}^F x^n - r_{0,0}^F + \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ 1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}} r_{k,n}^F x^k y^{n-k} \\ &= G^F(x,0) + G^F(0,y) - \mathbb{1}_F(0) + \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ 1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}} r_{k,n}^F x^k y^{n-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In view of (3.1), the sum in (3.5) can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ 1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}} r_{k,n}^F x^k y^{n-k} = \frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{\substack{j,k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}} \pi_j\, r_{k-j,n-j}^F x^k y^{n-k} + \sum_{\substack{j,k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r_{k,n-j}^F x^k y^{n-k} \Big]. \tag{3.6}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j,k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}} \pi_j\, r_{k-j,n-j}^F x^k y^{n-k} &= \sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}^*} \pi_j\, x^j \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}} r_{k-j,n-j}^F x^{k-j} y^{(n-j)-(k-j)} \\ &= \sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}^*} \pi_j\, x^j \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ k\leqslant n-1}} r_{k,n}^F x^k y^{n-k} \\ &= K_0(x)[G^F(x,y) - G^F(x,0)] \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where K_0 is defined in (2.11). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j,k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r_{k,n-j}^F x^k y^{n-k} &= \sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}^*} \pi_j\, y^j \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ k\leqslant n-j}} r_{k,n-j}^F x^k y^{(n-j)-k} \\ &= \sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}^*} \pi_j\, y^j \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ k\leqslant n}} r_{k,n}^F x^k y^{n-k} \\ &= K_0(y)[G^F(x,y) - G^F(0,y)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By plugging (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6) and next into (3.5), we obtain the equation for $G^F(x,y)$

$$G^F(x,y) = [1-\frac{1}{2}K_0(x)]G^F(x,0) + [1-\frac{1}{2}K_0(y)]G^F(0,y) - \mathbb{1}_F(0) + \frac{1}{2}[K_0(x)+K_0(y)]G^F(x,y)$$

from which we immediately extract the result (3.3).

Concerning the terms $G^F(x,0)$ and $G^F(0,y)$, we have by (2.14) and (3.2)

$$G^F(x,0) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} r_{n,n}^F x^n = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\{S_n \in F\} x^n - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n}} \pi_{j+1} \mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j} \in F\} x^n.$$

The first sum in the above equality is $H_0^F(x)$ while the second can be computed as follows: by (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n}}\pi_{j+1}\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j}\in F\}x^n &= \sum_{j\in\mathcal{O}}\pi_{j+1}x^j\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}^*:\\ n\geqslant j}}\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j}\in F\}x^{n-j}\\ &= 2p\sum_{j\in\mathcal{O}}\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1}=j\}x^j\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_n\in F\}x^n\\ &= 2pK_{-1}(x)H_{-1}^F(x)\end{aligned}$$

and the expression of $G^F(x,0)$ in (3.4) ensues. The derivation of that of $G^F(0,y)$ is quite similar. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished. ■

4 The case $F=\{j\}$ for $j\in\mathbb{Z}^*$

We suppose that $F=\{j\}$ for a fixed $j\in\mathbb{Z}^*$. So, we are dealing with a random walk with a prescribed location after the nth step. The case where $j=0$ will be considered in Section 6. We set for simplicity $r_{k,n}^{\{j\}}=r_{k,n}^j$ and $G^{\{j\}}(x,y)=G^j(x,y)$, $H_i^{\{j\}}(z)=H_i^j(z)$.

4.1 Generating function

In order to write the generating function $G^j(x,y)$, in view of (3.3), we need to know that $H_i^j(z)=H_{j-i}(z)$ and to evaluate the functions $G^j(x,0)$ and $G^j(0,y)$. We have

$$G^j(x,0)=H_0^j(x)-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px}\,H_{-1}^j(x).$$

But

$$H_{-1}^j(x)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{2px}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}\,H_0^j(x) & \text{if } j\in\mathbb{Z}^{+*},\\[2ex] \dfrac{2px}{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}\,H_0^j(x) & \text{if } j\in\mathbb{Z}^{-*}.\end{cases}$$

Then, for $j\in\mathbb{Z}^{+*}$,

$$G^j(x,0)=H_0^j(x)\left[1-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px}\,\frac{2px}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}\right]=\frac{2K_j(x)}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}$$

and, for $j\in\mathbb{Z}^{+*}$,

$$G^j(x,0)=H_0^j(x)\left[1-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px}\,\frac{2px}{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}\right]=0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$G^j(0,y)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{2K_j(y)}{1+\mathbf{A}(y)} & \text{if } j\in\mathbb{Z}^{-*},\\[2ex] 0 & \text{if } j\in\mathbb{Z}^{+*}.\end{cases}$$

From this and (3.3), we immediately derive the function $G(x,y)$.

Theorem 4.1 *The generating function G is given by*

$$G^j(x,y)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{2K_j(x)}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} & \text{if } j\in\mathbb{Z}^{+*}\\[2ex] \dfrac{2K_j(y)}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} & \text{if } j\in\mathbb{Z}^{-*}\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z)=\sqrt{1-4pqz^2}$.

4.2 Distribution of the sojourn time

We now invert the generating function G^j given by (4.1) in order to derive the coefficients $r^j_{k,n}$.

Theorem 4.2 *The probability $r^j_{k,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k, S_n = j\}$ admits the following expression: for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ such that $n-k$ is even,*
if $j \geqslant 1$:

$$r^j_{k,n} = \begin{cases} 2j \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathbb{N}:\\ j\leqslant i\leqslant k,\\ i-j\in\mathcal{E}}} \frac{a_{n-i}}{i}\binom{i}{(i+j)/2} p^{(n+j)/2} q^{(n-j)/2} & \text{if } j \leqslant k \text{ and } k-j \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } j > k \text{ or } k-j \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

if $j \leqslant -1$:

$$r^j_{k,n} = \begin{cases} 2|j| \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathbb{N}:\\ |j|\leqslant i\leqslant k,\\ i+j\in\mathcal{E}}} \frac{a_{n-i}}{i}\binom{i}{(i+j)/2} p^{(n+j)/2} q^{(n-j)/2} & \text{if } j \geqslant k-n \text{ and } k-j \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } j < k-n \text{ or } k-j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

where $a_i = \dfrac{1}{i+2}\binom{i}{i/2}$ for $i \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Assume first that $j \geqslant 1$. We expand $G^j(x,y)$ by using (2.3):

$$G^j(x,y) = 2\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_j = i\} x^i \sum_{l,m\in\mathcal{E}} a_{l+m}\, x^l y^m = 2 \sum_{\substack{i,l,m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ l,m\in\mathcal{E}}} a_{l+m}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_j = i\}\, x^{i+l} y^m.$$

By performing the transformations $i+l=k$ and $m=n-k$ in the last sum, we get

$$G^j(x,y) = 2 \sum_{\substack{i,k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k-i\in\mathcal{E}, n-k\in\mathcal{E}}} a_{n-i}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_j = i\}\, x^k y^{n-k} = \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ n-k\in\mathcal{E}}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k-i\in\mathcal{E}}} 2a_{n-i}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_j = i\} \Bigg) x^k y^{n-k}.$$

We finally obtain (4.2) by identifying the coefficients of the two expansions of G^j and using (2.8). For the case where $j \leqslant -1$, we invoke an argument of duality which is explained in Remark 4.3 below. The expression of $r^j_{k,n}$ for $j \leqslant -1$ can be deduced from that related to the case where $j \geqslant 1$ by interchanging p and q, k and $n-k$, j and $-j$, and this proves (4.3). ∎

Remark 4.3 Let us introduce the dual random walk $(S^*_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ with $S^*_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X^*_j = -S_k$. This sequence is the Bernoulli random walk with interchanged parameters $q = \mathbb{P}\{X^*_j = +1\}$ and $p = \mathbb{P}\{X^*_j = -1\}$. The corresponding sojourn time is defined as $T^*_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta^*_j$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*_j &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (S^*_j > 0) \text{ or } (S^*_j = 0 \text{ and } S^*_{j-1} > 0), \\ 0 & \text{if } (S^*_j < 0) \text{ or } (S^*_j = 0 \text{ and } S^*_{j-1} < 0), \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (S_j < 0) \text{ or } (S_j = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1} < 0), \\ 0 & \text{if } (S_j > 0) \text{ or } (S_j = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1} > 0). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\delta^*_j = 1-\delta_j$ and then $T^*_n = n - T_n$ which implies $r^j_{k,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T^*_n = n-k, S^*_n = -j\}$. As a result, the probability $r^j_{k,n}$ can be deduced from the probability $r^{-j}_{n-k,n}$ by interchanging p and q. ∎

5 The case $F = \mathbb{Z}$ (random walk without conditioning)

In this part, we study the sojourn time without conditioning the extremity of the random walk. This corresponds to the case $F = \mathbb{Z}$. We set for simplifying the notations $r^{\mathbb{Z}}_{k,n} = r_{k,n}$ and $G^{\mathbb{Z}}(x,y) = G(x,y)$. A possible expression for the $r_{k,n}$'s can be obtained from Theorem 4.2 by summing the $r^j_{k,n}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Actually, $r^j_{k,n} = 0$ for $|j| > n$; so,

$$r_{k,n} = \sum_{j=-n}^{n} r^j_{k,n}.$$

We propose another representation which can be deduced from the generating function G.

5.1 Generating function

In order to derive the generating function $G(x,y)$, in view of (3.3), we need to evaluate the functions $H^{\mathbb{Z}}_i(z)$, $G(x,0)$ and $G(0,y)$. On one hand, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{\mathbb{Z}}_i(z) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}-i} H_j(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H_j(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz} \right)^j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz} \right)^j + 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left[\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz} \, \frac{1}{1-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz}} + \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz} \, \frac{1}{1-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz}} + 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left[\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)} + \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)} + 1 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[2pz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)][2qz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)] &= 4pqz^2 - 2z + 1 + 2(z-1)\mathbf{A}(z) + \mathbf{A}(z)^2 \\
&= 2(1-z)[1-\mathbf{A}(z)]. \qquad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H^{\mathbb{Z}}_i(z) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \left[\frac{2qz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)}{2(1-z)} + \frac{2pz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)}{2(1-z)} + 1 \right].$$

The term within the brackets equals $\mathbf{A}(z)/(1-z)$ and thus, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$H^{\mathbb{Z}}_i(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

Notice that the value of $H^{\mathbb{Z}}_i(z)$ may be deduced through a shorter way from its own definition:

$$H^{\mathbb{Z}}_i(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i\{S_k \in \mathbb{Z}\} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.4),

$$G(x,0) = H^{\mathbb{Z}}_0(x) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px} H^{\mathbb{Z}}_{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} \left[1 - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px} \right] = \frac{2px-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px(1-x)}.$$

Similarly,

$$G(0,y) = \frac{2qy-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{2qy(1-y)}.$$

We can then write out $G(x,y)$.

Theorem 5.1 *The generating function G is given by*

$$G(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}\left[\frac{2p-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x} + \frac{2q-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y}\right] \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z) = \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}$.

Proof. We have by (3.3)

$$G(x,y) = \frac{[1+\mathbf{A}(x)]G(x,0) + [1+\mathbf{A}(y)]G(0,y) - 2}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}.$$

Let us compute the terms $[1+\mathbf{A}(x)]G(x,0)$ and $[1+\mathbf{A}(y)]G(0,y)$. We have

$$[1+\mathbf{A}(x)][2px-1+\mathbf{A}(x)] = 2px - 1 + 2px\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}(x)^2 = 2px[1-2qx+\mathbf{A}(x)]$$

and then

$$[1+\mathbf{A}(x)]G(x,0) = \frac{1-2qx+\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x}.$$

Similarly,

$$[1+\mathbf{A}(y)]G(0,y) = \frac{1-2py+\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[1+\mathbf{A}(x)]G(x,0) + [1+\mathbf{A}(y)]G(0,y) - 2 \\
&\qquad = \left[\frac{1-2qx}{1-x} + \frac{1-2py}{1-y} - 2\right] + \frac{\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x} + \frac{\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y} \\
&\qquad = \left[\left(\frac{1-2qx}{1-x} - 2q\right) + \left(\frac{1-2py}{1-y} - 2p\right)\right] + \frac{\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x} + \frac{\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y} \\
&\qquad = \frac{2p-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x} + \frac{2q-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain (5.2). ■

An interesting consequence of Theorem 5.1 concerns the "partial" generating function $\tilde{G}$ of the probabilities $r_{k,n}$ limited to the even indices k and n:

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \sum_{k,n\in\mathcal{E}} r_{k,n} x^k y^{n-k}.$$

For this function, we have the simple result below.

Corollary 5.2 *The generating function $\tilde{G}$ is given by*

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \frac{4pq}{[1-2p+\mathbf{A}(x)][1-2q+\mathbf{A}(y)]} \tag{5.3}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z) = \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}$. *In particular,*

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \tilde{G}(x,0)\tilde{G}(0,y). \tag{5.4}$$

PROOF. We first try to relate $\tilde{G}$ to G. For this, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{2}[G(x,y)+G(-x,-y)] = \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}} \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2}\, r_{k,n}x^k y^{n-k} = \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{N},n\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant n}} r_{k,n}x^k y^{n-k}.$$

We know that, for all even integer n, if k is odd, then $r_{k,n}=0$. We therefore have checked that

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}[G(x,y)+G(-x,-y)].$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}\left[\frac{2p-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x^2}+\frac{2q-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y^2}\right].$$

We have now

$$2p-1+\mathbf{A}(x) = \frac{(2p-1)^2-\mathbf{A}(x)^2}{2p-1-\mathbf{A}(x)} = 4pq\,\frac{1-x^2}{1-2p+\mathbf{A}(x)}$$

and similarly,

$$2q-1+\mathbf{A}(y) = 4pq\,\frac{1-y^2}{1-2q+\mathbf{A}(y)}.$$

This gives

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \frac{4pq}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}\left[\frac{1}{1-2p+\mathbf{A}(x)}+\frac{1}{1-2q+\mathbf{A}(y)}\right]$$

which in turn, with $[1-2p+\mathbf{A}(x)]+[1-2q+\mathbf{A}(y)] = \mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)$, yields (5.3). This formula supplies in particular

$$\tilde{G}(x,0) = \frac{2q}{1-2p+\mathbf{A}(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}(0,y) = \frac{2p}{1-2q+\mathbf{A}(y)}$$

and we immediately obtain (5.4). ■

5.2 Distribution of the sojourn time

In this part, we invert the generating function G given by (5.2) in order to derive the coefficients $r_{k,n}$.

Theorem 5.3 *The probability $r_{k,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k\}$ admits the following expression: for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,n} = {} & \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\left[2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}} a_j a_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right] \\ & + \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\left[2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} a_j a_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $a_i = \dfrac{1}{i+2}\binom{i}{i/2}$ for $i \in \mathcal{E}$. More specifically,

- *For odd n:*

$$r_{k,n}=\begin{cases} 2p\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}-4\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-3}}\Big(\sum\limits_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}} a_ja_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2+1} \\ \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 2q\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}-4\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-3}}\Big(\sum\limits_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} a_ja_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2+1} \\ \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

- *For even n:*

$$r_{k,n}=\begin{cases} 2p\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}-4\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}}\Big(\sum\limits_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}} a_ja_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2+1} \\ +2q\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}-4\sum\limits_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}}\Big(\sum\limits_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} a_ja_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2+1} \\ \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 0 \text{ if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. Rewrite (5.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} G(x,y)=&\frac{2p}{1-x}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} \\ &+\frac{2q}{1-y}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

We expand the first term of (5.8). By (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2p}{1-x}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}&=2p\Big(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}x^m\Big)\Big(\sum_{j,l\in\mathcal{E}}a_{j+l}(pq)^{(j+l)/2}x^jy^l\Big) \\ &=2p\sum_{j,l\in\mathcal{E},m\in\mathbb{N}}a_{j+l}(pq)^{(j+l)/2}x^{j+m}y^l \\ &=2p\sum_{k,n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{j,l\in\mathcal{E},m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ j+m=k,l=n-k}}a_{j+l}(pq)^{(j+l)/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} \qquad (5.9) \\ &=2p\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,n-k\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ m\leqslant k,n-m\in\mathcal{E}}}a_{n-m}(pq)^{(n-m)/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} \qquad (5.10) \\ &=2p\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}}a_i(pq)^{i/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k}. \qquad (5.11) \end{aligned}$$

Let us explain certain transformations made in the above calculations:

1. In (5.9), we have introduced the indices $k=j+m$ and $n=j+l+m$. Then $j=k-m$ and $l=n-k$, the conditions $j,l\in\mathcal{E}$ are equivalent to $k-m\geqslant 0, n-k\geqslant 0, k-m\in\mathcal{E}$, $n-k\in\mathcal{E}$, or $m\leqslant k\leqslant n, n-k\in\mathcal{E}, n-m\in\mathcal{E}$. This leads to (5.10);
2. From (5.10) to (5.11), we have put $i=n-m$.

We expand the second term of (5.8). By (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}\\
&\qquad=4\Big(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}x^m\Big)\Big(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}^*}a_{i-2}(pq)^{i/2}x^i\Big)\Big(\sum_{j,l\in\mathcal{E}}a_{j+l}(pq)^{(j+l)/2}x^jy^l\Big)\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}^*,j,l\in\mathcal{E},m\in\mathbb{N}}a_{i-2}a_{j+l}(pq)^{(i+j+l)/2}x^{i+j+m}y^l\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{k,n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*,j,l\in\mathcal{E},m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ i+j+m=k,l=n-k}}a_{i-2}a_{j+l}(pq)^{(i+j+l)/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} &(5.12)\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,n-k\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*,m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ i+m\leqslant k,i+n-m\in\mathcal{E}}}a_{i-2}a_{n-m-i}(pq)^{(n-m)/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} &(5.13)\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,n-k\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ n-m\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant k-m}}a_{i-2}a_{n-m-i}\Big)(pq)^{(n-m)/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} &(5.14)\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,n-k\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}:\\ n-m\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-m-2}}a_ja_{n-m-j-2}\Big)(pq)^{(n-m)/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} &(5.15)\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,n-k\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0,1\}:\\ n-m\in\mathcal{E}}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-m}}a_ja_{n-m-j}\Big)(pq)^{(n-m)/2+1}\Big]x^ky^{n-k} &(5.16)\\
&\qquad=4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}}a_ja_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2+1}\Big]x^ky^{n-k}. &(5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us explain the transformations used in the above computations:

1. In (5.12), we have introduced the indices $k=i+j+m$ and $n=i+j+l+m$. Then $j=-i+k-m, l=n-k$ and $i\in\mathcal{E}$, the conditions $j,l\in\mathcal{E}$ are equivalent to $k-i-m\geqslant 0, n-k\geqslant 0, k-i-m\in\mathcal{E}, n-k\in\mathcal{E}$, or $i+m\leqslant k\leqslant n, n-k\in\mathcal{E}, n-m\in\mathcal{E}$. This leads to (5.13);
2. From (5.14) to (5.15), we have put $i=j+2$;
3. From (5.15) to (5.16), we have changed m into $m-2$;
4. From (5.16) to (5.17), we have put $i=n-m$.

By invoking the argument of duality which is explained in Remark 4.3, the two last terms of (5.8) can be deduced from the two first ones by interchanging p and q, and x and y (that is k and $n-k$). This yields

$$\frac{2q}{1-y}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}=2q\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}}a_i(pq)^{i/2}\Big]x^ky^{n-k}, \tag{5.18}$$

$$\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}=4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}}a_ja_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2+1}\Big]x^ky^{n-k}. \tag{5.19}$$

By identifying the coefficients of the series lying in the definition of G and in (5.11), (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19), we extract (5.5). ■

Corollary 5.4 *The probabilities*

$$r_{0,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, \dots, S_n \leqslant 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 > n\},$$
$$r_{n,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = n\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \geqslant 0, \dots, S_n \geqslant 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > n\}$$

are given by

$$r_{0,n} = 1 - 2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \quad \textit{and} \quad r_{n,n} = 1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}. \tag{5.20}$$

The probabilities

$$r_{1,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = 1\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, \dots, S_{n-1} \leqslant 0, S_n > 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = n\},$$
$$r_{n-1,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = n-1\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \geqslant 0, \dots, S_{n-1} \geqslant 0, S_n < 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} = n\}$$

are given by $r_{1,n} = r_{n-1,n} = 0$ *if* n *is even and, if* n *is odd,*

$$r_{1,n} = 2a_{n-1}\, p^{(n+1)/2} q^{(n-1)/2} \quad \textit{and} \quad r_{n-1,n} = 2a_{n-1}\, p^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n+1)/2}. \tag{5.21}$$

We propose three proofs of (5.20).

First proof. Formulas (5.21) can be easily deduced from (5.6) and (5.7). Now, we deduce (5.20) from Theorem 5.3. We only consider the probability $r_{n,n}$, the computations related to $r_{0,n}$ being quite analogous.

If n is odd, (5.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n,n} &= 2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-3}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\ &= (2-2q) \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 2 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-3}} a_{i+2} (pq)^{i/2+1} \\ &= (2-2q) \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 2 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \\ &= 1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If n is even, (5.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n,n} &= 2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 2q a_n (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\ &= (2-2q) \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 2q a_n (pq)^{i/2} - 2 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} a_{i+2} (pq)^{i/2+1} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 2 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \\ &= 1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} = 1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

SECOND PROOF. Another proof of (5.20) consists of considering the generating function of the $r_{n,n}$'s, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is nothing but $G(x,0)$ as observed in (2.14). Indeed, we have by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} G(x,0) &= \frac{2px - 1 + \mathbf{A}(x)}{2px(1-x)} = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px(1-x)}. \\ &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} x^m - 2q\Big(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} x^m\Big)\Big(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}x^{i+1}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} x^m - 2q \sum_{i\in\mathcal{E},m\in\mathbb{N}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}x^{i+m+1} \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x^n - 2q \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}\Big(\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}\Big)x^n \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big(1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-1}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}\Big)x^n. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (2.14), we obtain the expression (5.20) related to $r_{n,n}$ by identification. ■

THIRD PROOF. A direct proof for deriving (5.20) consists of writing, by using (2.10), that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n,n} &= \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > n\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} \leqslant n\} = 1 - \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{O}:\\ k\leqslant n}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} = k\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2p}\sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{O}:\\ k\leqslant n}} \pi_{k+1} = 1 - \frac{2}{p}\sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{O}:\\ k\leqslant n}} a_{k-1}(pq)^{(k+1)/2} = 1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant n-1}} a_k(pq)^{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 5.5 Let us compute the limit of $r_{0,n}$ as n tends to ∞: (5.20) gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{0,n} = 1 - 2p\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_i(pq)^{i/2}.$$

By (2.1), one has

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_i(pq)^{i/2} = \frac{1 - A(\sqrt{pq})}{4pq} = \frac{1-|p-q|}{4pq} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2p} & \text{if } p \geqslant 1/2 \\ \dfrac{1}{2q} & \text{if } p \leqslant 1/2 \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

which yields

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{0,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \geqslant 1/2, \\ 2 - \dfrac{1}{q} & \text{if } p \leqslant 1/2. \end{cases} \tag{5.23}$$

This simple result is in good accordance with the fact that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{0,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_\infty = 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 = \infty\}.$$

Analogously,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{n,n} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} = \infty\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \leqslant 1/2, \\ 2 - \dfrac{1}{p} & \text{if } p \geqslant 1/2. \end{cases}$$

■

Corollary 5.6 *For even n, k such that $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, the following relationship holds:*

$$r_{k,n} = r_{k,k} r_{0,n-k}. \tag{5.24}$$

We propose three proofs of (5.24). The first one is based on the explicit representation (5.20) of the probabilities $r_{k,k}$ and $r_{0,n-k}$ and the representation (5.7) of $r_{k,n}$ (so, this is a consequence of Theorem 5.3), the second one is done by induction and the third one relies on the specific generating function $\tilde{G}$ which has been introduced below Theorem 5.1.

First proof. We deduce (5.24) from (5.20). Let us develop the product $r_{k,k} r_{0,n-k}$. The computations are rather technical:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,k} r_{0,n-k} &= \Big[1 - 2p \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n-k-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}\Big] \Big[1 - 2q \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant k-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}\Big] \\ &= 1 - 2p \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n-k-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 2q \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant k-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \\ &\quad + 4pq \sum_{\substack{l,m \in \mathcal{E}: \\ l \leqslant k-2, m \leqslant n-k-2}} a_l a_m (pq)^{(l+m)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

We write the two first sums of the last displayed equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n-k-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} &= \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}, \\ \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant k-2}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} &= \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k \leqslant i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

and the third one as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{l,m \in \mathcal{E}: \\ l \leqslant k-2, m \leqslant n-k-2}} a_l a_m (pq)^{(l+m)/2} &= \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n-4}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i+k+2-n \leqslant j \leqslant (k-2) \wedge i}} a_j a_{i-j} \Big) (pq)^{i/2} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant k-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j} \Big) (pq)^{i/2} + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k \leqslant i \leqslant n-k-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{i-j} \Big) (pq)^{i/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-4}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i+k+2-n \leqslant j \leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{i-j} \Big) (pq)^{i/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

In the first equality of (5.26), we changed the indices l, m into i, j according to the rules $i = l + m$ and $j = l$. The conditions $l \leqslant k-2$ and $m \leqslant n-k-2$ yield $i \leqslant n-4$ and $i + k + 2 - n \leqslant j \leqslant i$ (since $j = i - m$). In the second equality of (5.26), we split the sum into three terms: for $i \leqslant k-2$, the condition $i + k + 2 - n \leqslant j \leqslant (k-2) \wedge i$ is equivalent to $j \leqslant i$, for $k \leqslant i \leqslant n-k-2$, this condition is equivalent to $j \leqslant k-2$ and for $n - k \leqslant i \leqslant n-4$, this condition is equivalent to $i + k + 2 - n \leqslant j \leqslant k-2$.

Therefore, putting (5.26) and (5.27) into (5.25),

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{k,k} r_{0,n-k} \\ &= 2a_0 - 2p \Big[\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \Big] - 2q \Big[\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k \leqslant i \leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 4pq\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant k-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-k-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-4}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i+k+2-n\leqslant j\leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2}\Big] \\
&= 2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 2 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 4pq\Big[\dots\Big]. \\
&= 2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 4pq\Big[\cdots - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2-1}\Big]. \qquad (5.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second equality of (5.28), we have simplified $a_0 - p\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - q\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}$ into $-\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}$, and the dots "…" stand for the whole term lying within brackets which follows the factor $4pq$ in the first equality.

Using (2.2), we rewrite the last sum lying in (5.28) as

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} a_{i+2} (pq)^{i/2} = \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2}
$$

and then (5.28) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{k,k} r_{0,n-k} = {} & 2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \\
& + 4pq\Big[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant k-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-k-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2} \\
& + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-4}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i+k+2-n\leqslant j\leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} a_j a_{i-j}\Big)(pq)^{i/2}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute the term within brackets. Since the computations are technical, we first write down progressively all the equalities and we next explain them. The aforementioned term writes, by omitting the repetitive quantity $a_j a_{i-j}(pq)^{i/2}$ for lightening the proof,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant k-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-k-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-4}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i+k-n+2\leqslant j\leqslant k-2}} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} && (5.29)\\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-k-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-4}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant n-k-2}} && (5.30)\\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant j\leqslant i}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-k-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-4}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant n-k-2}} && (5.31)\\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant j\leqslant i}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant n-k-2}} && (5.32)\\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant i}} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant n-k-2}} && (5.33)\\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant j\leqslant i}} . && (5.34)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now explain the transformations carried out in the foregoing calculations.

1. From (5.29) to (5.30): the difference of the first and last terms of (5.29) yields the first term of (5.30). Moreover, we have performed the substitution $j \mapsto i-j$ in the third term of (5.29) ($\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:i+k-n+2\leqslant j\leqslant k-2}$) which supplies the third term of (5.30) ($\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant n-k-2}$);

2. From (5.30) to (5.31): we have split the sum with respect to j ($j \leqslant i$) of the first term in (5.30) into two sums ($\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:j\leqslant k-2}$ and $\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:k\leqslant j\leqslant i}$). This gives the two first terms of (5.31);

3. From (5.31) to (5.32): we have simplified the difference of the two sums with respect to i within the first and third terms ($\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}:k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}$ and $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}:k\leqslant i\leqslant n-k-2}$); this yields the sum with respect to i in the first term in (5.32) ($\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}:n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}$). Moreover, we have added a null term in the third term: in (5.32), i varies from $n-k$ to $n-4$, while in (5.33), i varies from $n-k$ to $n-2$. The sum with respect to j corresponding to the exceeding index $i=n-2$ actually vanishes;

4. From (5.32) to (5.33): we have performed the substitution $j \mapsto i-j$ in the two terms of (5.32) ($\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:j\leqslant k-2}$ and $\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:k\leqslant j\leqslant i}$), which supplies the two first terms of (5.33) ($\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:i-k+2\leqslant j\leqslant i}$ and $\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}:j\leqslant k-i}$);

5. From (5.33) to (5.34): the difference of the first and last terms of (5.33) yields the last term of (5.34).

Finally, plugging (5.34) into (5.28) yields (5.7). ■

Second proof. As in [6], formula (5.24) can be proved by induction. This way is not constructive but elegant, and we find it interesting to produce it here. We formulate the induction hypothesis as follows: for any even n,
($\mathcal{H}_n$): *For all $m \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $m \leqslant n$, (for all $j \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $j \leqslant m$, $r_{j,m} = r_{j,j} r_{0,m-j}$).*
The initialization ($\mathcal{H}_0$) is trivial. Pick now $n \in \mathcal{E}^*$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}_{n-2}$ holds true. Let $k \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$. We have, by $\mathcal{H}_{n-2}$ and (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,n} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \pi_j\, r_{k-j,n-j} + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r_{k,n-j} \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \pi_j\, r_{k-j,k-j} r_{0,n-k} + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r_{k,k} r_{0,n-j-k} \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \pi_j\, r_{k-j,k-j} \Big) r_{0,n-k} + \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r_{0,n-j-k} \Big) r_{k,k} \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Remarking, by the Markov property, that

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\pi_j\, r_{k-j,k-j} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 > 0, \tau_0 = j, T_k = k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}\,\pi_j\, r_{0,n-j-k} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < 0, \tau_0 = j, T_k = k\},$$

we obtain

$$r_{k,n} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 \leqslant k, T_k = k\} r_{0,n-k} + \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 \leqslant n-k, T_{n-k} = 0\} r_{k,k}. \tag{5.35}$$

Now, we have for even k

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 \leqslant k, T_k = k\} &= \mathbb{P}\{T_k = k\} - \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 > k, T_k = k\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \geqslant 0, \dots, S_k \geqslant 0\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > k\} - p\,\mathbb{P}_1\{\tau_0 > k-1\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > k\} - p\,\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > k-1\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > k\} - p\,\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > k\} = q\,\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1} > k\} = q\,\mathbb{P}\{T_k = k\} \\ &= q\, r_{k,k}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{\tau_0 \leqslant n-k, T_{n-k}=0\} &= p\,\mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 > n-k\} = p\,\mathbb{P}\{T_{n-k}=0\}\\ &= p\,r_{0,n-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

Finally, putting (5.36) and (5.37) into (5.35), we derive (5.24). ■

THIRD PROOF. We propose a last and very short proof of (5.24). The probabilities $r_{k,n}$, $k,n \in \mathcal{E}$, are generated by the function $\tilde{G}$ which was introduced in Subsection 5.2. By (5.4), the quantity $\tilde{G}(x,y)$ can be factorized into the product of $\tilde{G}(x,0)$ and $\tilde{G}(0,y)$. Writing that

$$\tilde{G}(x,0)\tilde{G}(0,y) = \sum_{k,l\in\mathcal{E}} (r_{k,k}r_{0,l})x^k y^l = \sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant n}} (r_{k,k}r_{0,n-k})x^k y^{n-k},$$

we conclude, by identification, that (5.24) holds true. ■

Corollary 5.7 *In the symmetric case ($p=q=1/2$), the well-known following expression holds for even integers n,k such that $0\leqslant k\leqslant n$:*

$$r_{k,n} = \frac{1}{2^n}\binom{k}{k/2}\binom{(n-k)}{(n-k)/2}.$$

PROOF. In the case where $p=1/2$, we have the particular identities

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_0=j\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_{j-2}=0\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_j=0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1}=j\} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0=j+1\}$$

which are due to the fact that $b_{j-2} - b_j/4 = a_{j-2}$ and to (2.10) respectively, and then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,k} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1}\geqslant k+1\} &= \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\geqslant k+1}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{-1}=j\} = \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\geqslant k+1}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0=j+1\} = \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant k+2}} \mathbb{P}\{\tau_0=j\}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant k+2}} [\mathbb{P}\{S_{j-2}=0\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_j=0\}] = \mathbb{P}\{S_k=0\} = \frac{1}{2^k}\binom{k}{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$r_{0,n-k} = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_1 \geqslant n-k+1\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_{n-k}=0\} = \frac{1}{2^{n-k}}\binom{(n-k)}{(n-k)/2}.$$

We conclude with the help of (5.24). ■

Remark 5.8 Let us compute the limit of $r_{k,n}$ as n tends to ∞. We have to distinguish the cases $n\in\mathcal{E}$ and $n\in\mathcal{O}$. On one hand, by (5.23) and (5.24), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{E}}} r_{k,n} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k) \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{E}}} r_{k,k}r_{0,n-k} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k) r_{k,k} \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{E}}} r_{0,n} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k) r_{k,k}\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right)^+.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[2p\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i(pq)^{i/2} - 4\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}} a_j a_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right] = 0$$

we can see from (5.6) that for odd k

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} r_{k,n} = 0.$$

Assume now that k is even. By (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} r_{k,n} &= \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} \Bigg[2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\
&\quad + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \Bigg] \\
&= \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} \Bigg[2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n+1-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n+1-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-3}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n-1}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\
&\quad + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-3}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \Bigg] \\
&= \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} \Bigg[2p \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n+1-k\leqslant i\leqslant n+1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n+1-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n-1}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\
&\quad + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n+1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} a_j a_{i-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\
&\quad - 2p\, a_{n+1} (pq)^{(n+1)/2} + 4 \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{n-1-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{(n+1)/2} \\
&\quad - 2q\, a_{n+1} (pq)^{(n+1)/2} + 4 \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-1-k}} a_j a_{n-1-j} \Bigg) (pq)^{(n+1)/2} \Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

The last four terms within the above limit tend to 0 and it remains the first four terms which are nothing but $r_{k,n+1}$. Hence,

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} r_{k,n} = \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} r_{k,n+1} = \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{E}}} r_{k,n}.$$

As a result, the foregoing discussion shows that

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{E}}} r_{k,n} = \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ n\in\mathcal{O}}} r_{k,n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{k,n}$$

which supplies the distribution of the total sojourn time of the random walk in $\mathbb{Z}^+$:

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_\infty = k\} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k) r_{k,k} \left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^+.$$

By (5.20) and (5.22), we can rewrite $r_{k,k}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{k,k} &= 1 - 2q \Bigg[\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \Bigg] = 1 - 2q \Bigg[\frac{1-|p-q|}{4pq} - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \Bigg] \\
&= \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^+ + 2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

With this representation of $r_{k,k}$ at hand, we can compute the probability $\mathbb{P}\{T_\infty < \infty\}$. First, if $p \geqslant 1/2$, we plainly have $\mathbb{P}\{T_\infty = k\} = 0$ for all k and then $\mathbb{P}\{T_\infty < \infty\} = 0$. Second, if $p < 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{T_\infty < \infty\} &= \left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} r_{k,k} = 2(2q-1) \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \geqslant k}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} \right) \\ &= 2(2q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k \leqslant i}} 1 \right) a_i (pq)^{i/2} = (2q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} (i+2) a_i (pq)^{i/2} \\ &= (2q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} b_i (pq)^{i/2} = \frac{q-p}{A(pq)} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

The next to last equality comes from (2.1). Therefore we have obtained the result

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_\infty < \infty\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \geqslant 1/2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p < 1/2. \end{cases}$$

which is in good agreement with the transient or recurrent character of the random walk. Indeed, if $p > 1/2$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = +\infty$ a.s.; if $p < 1/2$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = -\infty$ a.s.; if $p = 1/2$, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} S_n = +\infty$ a.s. Hence, one has $T_\infty = \infty$ a.s. for $p \geqslant 1/2$, while $T_\infty < \infty$ a.s. for $p < 1/2$. ∎

Proposition 5.9 *For odd integers n, k such that $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, the following relationship holds:*

$$r_{k,n} + r_{k+1,n} = r_{k+1,n+1}. \tag{5.38}$$

First proof. We first derive (5.38) by using the explicit results obtained in Theorem 5.3. On one hand, since k and n are odd, (5.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,n} + r_{k+1,n} = 2p &\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-3}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i+k-n}} a_j a_{i-j} \right) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\ + 2q &\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-3}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i-k-1}} a_j a_{i-j} \right) (pq)^{i/2+1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $k+1$ and $n+1$ are even, (5.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1,n+1} = 2p &\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n+1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i+k-n}} a_j a_{i-j} \right) (pq)^{i/2+1} \\ + 2q &\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2} - 4 \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ k+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant i-k-1}} a_j a_{i-j} \right) (pq)^{i/2+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We then deduce, by remarking that $\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant k-1}} a_j a_{n-1-j} = \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ n-k \leqslant j \leqslant n-1}} a_j a_{n-1-j}$ and by using (2.2), that

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{k+1,n+1} - (r_{k,n} + r_{k+1,n}) \\ &\quad = (2p+2q) a_{n+1} (pq)^{(n+1)/2} \\ &\qquad - 4 \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant k-1}} a_j a_{n-1-j} \right) (pq)^{(n-1)/2+1} - 4 \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{E}: \\ j \leqslant n-k-2}} a_j a_{n-1-j} \right) (pq)^{(n-1)/2+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2\Bigg(a_{n+1} - 2\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-1}} a_j a_{n-1-j}\Bigg)(pq)^{(n+1)/2} = 0.$$

The relationship (5.38) is checked. ■

Second proof. We can prove (5.38) directly without using any explicit expression. Pick two odd integers n, k such that $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. We have

$$r_{k,n} + r_{k+1,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k\} + \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k+1\} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n \in \{k, k+1\}\}. \tag{5.39}$$

Let us introduce the last passage time by 0, say σ_0, for the random walk. Is is plain that σ_0 is even and that there is an even number of δ_j up to time σ_0 which are equal to one. Afterwards, it remains an odd number (this is $n-\sigma_0$) of steps up to time n. One has either $S_{\sigma_0+1} > 0, S_{\sigma_0+2} > 0, \ldots, S_n > 0$ or $S_{\sigma_0+1} < 0, S_{\sigma_0+2} < 0, \ldots, S_n < 0$. The corresponding δ_j, $\sigma_0 + 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, are either all equal to one or all equal to zero. More precisely,

- if $T_n = k$, since k is odd, one has in this case $S_{\sigma_0+1} > 0, S_{\sigma_0+2} > 0, \ldots, S_n > 0$. Then, necessarily, $S_{n+1} \geqslant 0$ which entails that $\delta_{n+1} = 1$ and $T_{n+1} = T_n + 1 = k+1$;
- if $T_n = k+1$, since $k+1$ is even, one has in this case $S_{\sigma_0+1} < 0, S_{\sigma_0+2} < 0, \ldots, S_n < 0$. Then, necessarily, $S_{n+1} \leqslant 0$ which entails that $\delta_{n+1} = 0$ and $T_{n+1} = T_n = k+1$.

This discussion implies the inclusion $\{T_n \in \{k, k+1\}\} \subset \{T_{n+1} = k+1\}$. Conversely, since $T_{n+1} - T_n = \delta_n \in \{0, 1\}$, the equality $T_{n+1} = k+1$ implies $T_n \in \{k, k+1\}$ which proves the inclusion $\{T_{n+1} = k+1\} \subset \{T_n \in \{k, k+1\}\}$. As a byproduct, the equality $\{T_n \in \{k, k+1\}\} = \{T_{n+1} = k+1\}$ holds and this proves, referring to (5.39), the relationship (5.38). ■

6 The case $F = \{0\}$ (bridge of the random walk)

In this part, we shall set for simplifying $r_{k,n}^{\{0\}} = r_{k,n}^0$, $H^{\{0\}}(z) = H^0(z)$ and $G^{\{0\}}(x,y) = G^0(x,y)$. We consider the distribution of the sojourn time T_n subject to the condition that $S_n = 0$, that is we are dealing with the so-called bridge of the random walk pinned at zero at times 0 and n. The condition $S_n = 0$ can be fulfilled only when n is even. So, we make here the assumption that n is an even integer throughout this section.

6.1 Generating function

In the light of Theorem 3.2, we need to evaluate the functions $H_i^0(z)$, $G^0(x,0)$ and $G^0(0,y)$. We have $H_i^0(z) = H_{-i}(z)$ and then, by (2.7) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} G^0(x,0) &= H_0^0(x) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px}\, H_{-1}^0(x) = H_0(x) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px}\, H_1(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)}\left[1 - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px}\,\frac{2px}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}\right] = \frac{2}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$G^0(0,y) = \frac{2}{1+\mathbf{A}(y)}.$$

From this and (3.3), we immediately extract $G^0(x,y)$.

Theorem 6.1 *The generating function G^0 is given by*

$$G^0(x,y) = \frac{2}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z) = \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}$.

The result (6.1) is remarkably simple.

6.2 Distribution of the sojourn time

Theorem 6.2 *Assume that n is even. The probability $r^0_{k,n} = \mathbb{P}\{T_n = k, S_n = 0\}$ admits the following expression:*

$$r^0_{k,n} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n+2}\dbinom{n}{n/2}(pq)^{n/2} & \text{if } k \text{ is even such that } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd or such that } k \geqslant n+1. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

The distribution of T_n for the bridge of the random walk is given by

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_n = k \,|\, S_n = 0\} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n+2} & \text{if } k \text{ is even such that } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd or such that } k \geqslant n+1. \end{cases}$$

that is, the conditioned random variable $(T_n = k \,|\, S_n = 0)$ is uniformly distributed on the set $\{0, 2, 4, \ldots, n-2, n\}$.

First proof. By (2.3), we rewrite $G^0(x,y)$ as

$$G^0(x,y) = 2\sum_{i,j\in\mathcal{E}} a_{i+j}(pq)^{(i+j)/2}x^i y^j = 2\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant n}} a_n (pq)^{n/2} x^k y^{n-k}.$$

From this, we immediately extract the announced expression for $r^0_{k,n}$. Moreover, we plainly have

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_n = k \,|\, S_n = 0\} = \frac{\mathbb{P}\{T_n = k, S_n = 0\}}{\mathbb{P}\{S_n = 0\}}$$

with

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n = 0\} = \binom{n}{n/2}(pq)^{n/2}$$

and this prove the assertion related to the uniform law. ■

Second proof. We find it interesting to give a proof by induction relying on the recurrent relationship (3.1) which actually holds even in the present case, namely:

$$r^0_{k,n} = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \pi_j\, r^0_{k-j,n-j} + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r^0_{k,n-j}\Bigg]. \tag{6.3}$$

Indeed, the proof of (3.1) may be adapted *mutatis mutandis* in order to derive (6.3). We formulate the induction hypothesis as follows:
$(\mathcal{H}^0_n)$: *For all $m \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $m \leqslant n$, (for all $j \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $j \leqslant m$, $r^0_{j,m} = r^0_{0,m} = 2a_m(pq)^{m/2}$).*

The initialization $(\mathcal{H}_0^0)$ is trivial. Pick $n \in \mathcal{E}^*$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}_{n-2}^0$ holds true. Let $k \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. We have, by $\mathcal{H}_{n-2}^0$, (2.2), (2.9) and (6.3),

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,n}^0 &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} \pi_j\, r_{0,n-j}^0 + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} \pi_j\, r_{0,n-j}^0 \Big] = 4\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant k}} a_{j-2}a_{n-j} + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}^*:\\ j\leqslant n-k}} a_{j-2}a_{n-j} \Big](pq)^{n/2} \\ &= 4\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant k-2}} a_j a_{n-2-j} + \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant j\leqslant n-2}} a_j a_{n-2-j} \Big](pq)^{n/2} = 4\Big[\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-2}} a_j a_{n-2-j} \Big](pq)^{n/2} \\ &= 2a_n(pq)^{n/2} = r_{0,n}^0. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to see that this formula also holds for $k = n$. By (2.2), (2.5), (2.9) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n,n}^0 &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n = 0\} - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n-1}} \pi_{j+1}\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j} = 0\} \\ &= (n+2)a_n(pq)^{n/2} - \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-2}} a_j b_{n-j}(pq)^{n/2} \\ &= [(n+3)a_n - \frac{1}{4}\, b_{n+2}](pq)^{n/2} = [(n+3)a_n - (n+1)a_n](pq)^{n/2} \\ &= 2a_n(pq)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), we also have

$$r_{0,n}^0 = \mathbb{P}\{S_n = 0\} - \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{O}:\\ j\leqslant n-1}} \pi_{j+1}\mathbb{P}_1\{S_{n-j} = 0\}.$$

Because of the "duality" relationship $q\,\mathbb{P}_1\{S_{n-j} = 0\} = p\,\mathbb{P}_{-1}\{S_{n-j} = 0\}$, we immediately see that $r_{n,n}^0 = r_{0,n}^0$. The validity of $(\mathcal{H}_n^0)$ is acquired and the proof of (6.2) is finished. ∎

7 The cases $F = \mathbb{Z}^{+*}$ and $F = \mathbb{Z}^{-*}$

In this part, we shall set for simplifying $r_{k,n}^{\mathbb{Z}^{+*}} = r_{k,n}^+$, $G^{\mathbb{Z}^{+*}}(x,y) = G^+(x,y)$ and $H^{\mathbb{Z}^{+*}}(z) = H^+(z)$. We shall also use similar notations with minus signs for the study of the case $F = \mathbb{Z}^{-*}$. Some expressions for the $r_{k,n}^+$'s can be obtained from Theorem 4.2 by summing the $r_{k,n}^j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+*}$ or $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{-*}$. Since $r_{k,n}^j = 0$ for $|j| > n$, the corresponding sums reduce to

$$r_{k,n}^+ = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{k,n}^j \quad \text{and} \quad r_{k,n}^- = \sum_{j=-n}^{-1} r_{k,n}^j.$$

We propose other expressions which can be deduced from the generating functions G^+ and G^-.

7.1 Generating function

We first consider the case where $F = \mathbb{Z}^{+*}$. We need to evaluate the functions $H_i^+(z)$ for $i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $G^+(x,0)$ and $G^+(0,y)$. On one hand, we have for $i \in \{-1,0,1\}$ (and then $i \geqslant 0$)

$$H_i^+(z) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^{+*}-i} H_j(z) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)} \sum_{j=1-i}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz}\right)^j$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(z)(1-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz})} \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz}\right)^{1-i}$$
$$= \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{\mathbf{A}(z)[2qz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)]} \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz}\right)^{-i}.$$

Invoking (2.7) and (5.1), we find that

$$H_i^+(z) = \frac{2pz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)}{2(1-z)\mathbf{A}(z)} \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(z)}{2qz}\right)^{-i} = \frac{2pz-1+\mathbf{A}(z)}{2(1-z)\mathbf{A}(z)} \left(\frac{1+\mathbf{A}(z)}{2pz}\right)^{i}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.4),

$$G^+(x,0) = H_0^+(x) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px} H_{-1}^+(x) = H_0^+(x)\left[1 - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{2px} \frac{2px}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}\right]$$
$$= \frac{2\mathbf{A}(x)}{1+\mathbf{A}(x)} H_0^+(x) = \frac{2px-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{(1-x)[1+\mathbf{A}(x)]}.$$

Similarly,

$$G^+(0,y) = H_0^+(y) - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(y)}{2qy} H_1^+(y) = H_0^+(y)\left[1 - \frac{1-\mathbf{A}(y)}{2qy} \frac{2qy}{1-\mathbf{A}(y)}\right] = 0.$$

With this at hand, we can derive $G^+(x,y)$. Indeed, using the general formula (3.3), we obtain

$$G^+(x,y) = \frac{1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} G^+(x,0) = \frac{2px-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{(1-x)[\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)]}.$$

Exactly in the same way, we could find the result related to the case $F = \mathbb{Z}^{-*}$. We state both results in the theorem below.

Theorem 7.1 *The generating functions G^+ and G^- are given by*

$$G^+(x,y) = \frac{2px-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{(1-x)[\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)]} \quad \text{and} \quad G^-(x,y) = \frac{2qy-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{(1-y)[\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)]} \tag{7.1}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z) = \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}$.

Remark 7.2 We have the following relationship between the generating functions G, G^+, G^-, G^0:

$$G^+(x,y) + G^-(x,y) + G^0(x,y) = G(x,y).$$

Indeed, it is clearly due to the fact that $\{S_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+*}\} \cup \{S_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{-*}\} \cup \{S_n = 0\} = \Omega$ which implies that

$$r_{k,n}^+ + r_{k,n}^- + r_{k,n}^0 = r_{k,n}.$$

As a checking, we propose to compute directly the sum $G^+ + G^- + G^0$ by using the obtained expressions (5.2), (6.1) and (7.1):

$$G^+(x,y) + G^-(x,y) + G^0(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)} \left[\frac{2px-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x} + \frac{2qy-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y} + 2\right].$$

The term within brackets can be written as

$$\frac{2px-1}{1-x}+\frac{2qy-1}{1-y}+2=\left[\frac{2px-1}{1-x}+2p\right]+\left[\frac{2qy-1}{1-y}+2q\right]=\frac{2p-1}{1-x}+\frac{2q-1}{1-y}$$

and then

$$G^+(x,y)+G^-(x,y)+G^0(x,y)=\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}\left[\frac{2p-1+\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x}+\frac{2q-1+\mathbf{A}(y)}{1-y}\right]=G(x,y).$$

■

7.2 Distribution of the sojourn time

From Theorem 7.1, we derive the coefficients $r^+_{k,n}$ and $r^-_{k,n}$.

Theorem 7.3 *The probabilities $r^+_{k,n}=\mathbb{P}\{T_n=k,S_n>0\}$ and $r^-_{k,n}=\mathbb{P}\{T_n=k,S_n<0\}$ admit the following expressions: for $0\leqslant k\leqslant n$,*

$$r^+_{k,n}=\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\left[2p\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}}a_i(pq)^{i/2}-4\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}}a_ja_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right], \quad (7.2)$$

$$r^-_{k,n}=\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\left[2q\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}}a_i(pq)^{i/2}-4\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}}a_ja_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right], \quad (7.3)$$

where $a_i=\dfrac{1}{i+2}\binom{i}{i/2}$ for $i\in\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. We invert the generating function G^+. For its expansion, we refer to the proof of Theorem 5.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}G^+(x,y)&=\frac{2px}{1-x}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}-\frac{1-\mathbf{A}(x)}{1-x}\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)}\\&=2p\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\left[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n}}a_i(pq)^{i/2}\right]x^{k+1}y^{n-k}\\&\quad-4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\left[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}}a_ja_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right]x^ky^{n-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Performing the substitution $(k,n)\mapsto(k-1,n-1)$ in the first term of the last above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}G^+(x,y)&=2p\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n,}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\left[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ n-k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}}a_i(pq)^{i/2}\right]x^ky^{n-k}\\&\quad-4\sum_{\substack{k,n\in\mathbb{N}:\\ k\leqslant n}}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\left[\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\leqslant n-2}}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i+k-n}}a_ja_{i-j}\right)(pq)^{i/2+1}\right]x^ky^{n-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Formula (7.2) ensues by identification. Formula (7.3) can be deduced from (7.2) by invoking the duality argument mentioned in Remark 4.3: it suffices to interchange p and q on one hand, and k and $n-k$ on the other hand. ■

Remark 7.4 By comparing (5.5) and (7.2), we can see that, for odd integer n, $r^+_{k,n} = r_{k,n}$ if k is odd, $r^+_{k,n} = 0$ if k is even, and, for even integer n, $r^+_{0,n} = r^+_{1,n} = 0$ and $r^+_{2,n} = p^2 r^0_{0,n-2}$. These relations can be directly checked. For instance, in the last case, we can easily observe that the conditions $T_n = 2$ and $S_n > 0$ are fulfilled only in the case where $S_0 \leqslant 0, S_1 \leqslant 0, \ldots, S_{n-3} \leqslant 0$, $S_{n-2} = 0, S_{n-1} = 1$ and $S_n = 2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{T_n = 2, S_n > 0\} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_0 \leqslant 0, S_1 \leqslant 0, \ldots, S_{n-3} \leqslant 0, S_{n-2} = 0, X_{n-1} = X_n = 1\} \\ &= p^2 \mathbb{P}\{T_{n-2} = 0, S_{n-2} = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but $r^+_{2,n} = p^2 r^0_{0,n-2}$. ■

Remark 7.5 In Remark 7.2, we mentioned the relationship $r^+_{k,n} + r^-_{k,n} + r^0_{k,n} = r_{k,n}$. This one can be checked by adding (6.2), (7.2) and (7.3) after noticing that

$$r^0_{k,n} = 2\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n) a_n (pq)^{n/2} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n-k)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n) 2p\, a_n (pq)^{n/2} + \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(n) 2q\, a_n (pq)^{n/2},$$

the foregoing sum coincides with (5.5). ■

8 Examples

In this section, we provide explicit values for $r_{k,n}, r^0_{k,n}, r^+_{k,n}$ for $k \leqslant n \leqslant 8$. They can be deduced from our general results. We propose to compute them by enumerating all the possible paths of the random walk. We recall some properties which allow to check our results and which prevent us from considering certain cases. Knowing $r_{k,n}$, we find $r_{n-k,n}$ by interchanging p and q; hence, we only need the values of $r_{k,n}$ for $k \leqslant n/2$. For $k = 0$ and $k = 1$, we have $r_{0,n} = 1 - 2p \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{E}: \\ i \leqslant n-1}} a_i (pq)^{i/2}$ and $r_{1,n} = 2a_{n-1}\, p^{(n+1)/2} q^{(n-1)/2}$. For even n and odd k, $r_{k,n} = 0$. For even integers n, k such that $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, $r_{k-1,n-1} + r_{k,n-1} = r_{k,n}$. The numbers $r^0_{k,n}$ do not vanish only for even integers k and n. We also have $r^+_{0,n} = r^+_{1,n} = 0$, $r^+_{2,n} = p^2 r^0_{0,n-2}$ and if $n - k$ is odd, then $r^+_{k,n} = 0$. We give all the details in the case of the unconditioned random walk, and only the results for the conditioned cases.

8.1 Case $n = 1$

For the unconditioned case, we simply have

$$r_{0,1} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1\} = q.$$

The probability distribution of T_1 is given by $r_{0,1} = q$, $r_{1,1} = p$. Concerning the conditioned case, we have $r^+_{0,1} = 0$, $r^+_{1,1} = p$.

8.2 Case $n = 2$

For the unconditioned case, we simply have

$$r_{0,2} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1\} = q.$$

The probability distribution of T_2 is given by

$$r_{0,2} = q, \quad r_{1,2} = 0, \quad r_{2,2} = p.$$

We can check that $r_{0,2} = r_{0,1}$. Concerning the conditioned cases, we have

$$r^0_{0,2} = r^0_{2,2} = pq, \quad r^+_{0,2} = r^+_{1,2} = 0, \quad r^+_{2,2} = p^2.$$

8.3 Case $n = 3$

For the unconditioned case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{0,3} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0, S_3 \leqslant 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2\} \\ &= pq^2 + q^2 = q^2(p+1), \\ r_{1,3} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = 1\} = p^2 q. \end{aligned}$$

The probability distribution of T_3 is given by

$$r_{0,3} = q^2(p+1), \quad r_{1,3} = p^2 q, \quad r_{2,3} = pq^2, \quad r_{3,3} = p^2(q+1).$$

As a check, (5.20) yields

$$r_{0,3} = 1 - 2p[a_0 + a_2 pq] = 1 - p - p^2 q = q(1-p^2) = q^2(p+1)$$

which confirms the result on $r_{0,3}$ for instance. Concerning the conditioned case, we have

$$r^+_{0,3} = r^+_{2,3} = 0, \quad r^+_{1,3} = p^2 q, \quad r^+_{3,3} = p^2(q+1).$$

8.4 Case $n = 4$

For the unconditioned case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{0,4} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0, S_3 \leqslant 0, S_4 \leqslant 0\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2\} \\ &= pq^2 + q^2 = q^2(p+1), \\ r_{2,4} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = 1\} \\ &= pq^2 + p^2 q = pq. \end{aligned}$$

The probability distribution of T_4 is given by

$$r_{0,4} = q^2(p+1), \quad r_{1,4} = 0, \quad r_{2,4} = pq, \quad r_{3,4} = 0, \quad r_{4,4} = p^2(q+1).$$

We can check that $r_{0,4} = r_{0,3}$ and $r_{2,4} = r_{2,2}r_{0,2} = r_{1,3} + r_{2,3}$. Concerning the conditioned cases, we have

$$r^0_{0,4} = r^0_{2,4} = r^0_{4,4} = 2p^2q^2, \quad r^+_{0,4} = r^+_{1,4} = r^+_{3,4} = 0, \quad r^+_{2,4} = p^3 q, \quad r^+_{4,4} = p^3(2q+1).$$

8.5 Case $n = 5$

For the unconditioned case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{0,5} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0, S_3 \leqslant 0, S_4 \leqslant 0, S_5 \leqslant 0\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3\} \\ &= q^3(2p^2 + 2p + 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1,5} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = 1\} \\
&= 2p^3q^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,5} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = 1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2\} \\
&= 2p^2q^3 + pq^3 = pq^3(2p + 1).
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability distribution of T_5 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&r_{0,5} = q^3(2p^2 + 2p + 1), \quad r_{1,5} = 2p^3q^2, \quad r_{2,5} = pq^3(2p + 1), \\
&r_{3,5} = p^3q(2q + 1), \quad r_{4,5} = 2p^2q^3, \quad r_{5,5} = p^3(2q^2 + 2q + 1).
\end{aligned}
$$

As a check, (5.20) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0,5} &= 1 - 2p[a_0 + a_2pq + a_4(pq)^2] = r_{0,3} - 2p^3q^2 \\
&= q^2(p + 1 - 2p^3) = q^2(1 - p)(1 + 2p + 2p^2) = q^3(2p^2 + 2p + 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which confirms the result on $r_{0,5}$. On the other hand, (5.6) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,5} &= 2q[a_2pq + a_4(pq)^2] - 4a_0a_2(pq)^2 \\
&= pq^2 + 2p^2q^3 - p^2q^2 = pq^2(1 + 2pq - p) = pq^3(2p + 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which confirms the result on $r_{2,5}$. Concerning the conditioned case, we have

$$
r^+_{0,5} = r^+_{2,5} = r^+_{4,5} = 0, \quad r^+_{1,5} = 2p^3q^2, \quad r^+_{3,5} = p^3q(2q + 1), \quad r^+_{5,5} = p^3(2q^2 + 2q + 1).
$$

8.6 Case $n = 6$

For the unconditioned case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0,6} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0, S_3 \leqslant 0, S_4 \leqslant 0, S_5 \leqslant 0, S_6 \leqslant 0\} \\
&= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3\} \\
&= q^3(2p^2 + 2p + 1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,6} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = 1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2\} \\
&= 2p^3q^2 + 2p^2q^3 + pq^3 = pq^2(p + 1).
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability distribution of T_6 is given by

$$r_{0,6} = q^3(2p^2+2p+1), \quad r_{1,6} = 0, \quad r_{2,6} = pq^2(p+1), \quad r_{3,6} = 0,$$
$$r_{4,6} = p^2q(q+1), \quad r_{5,6} = 0, \quad r_{6,6} = p^3(2q^2+2q+1).$$

We can check that $r_{0,6} = r_{0,5}$ and $r_{2,6} = r_{2,2}r_{0,4} = r_{1,5} + r_{2,5}$. On the other hand, (5.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2,6} &= 2p[a_4(pq)^2 + a_6(pq)^3] - 4a_0a_4(pq)^3 + 2q[a_2(pq) + a_4(pq)^2 + a_6(pq)^3] \\ &\quad - 4[a_0a_2(pq)^2 + (a_0a_4 + a_2^2)(pq)^3] \\ &= 2a_2pq^2 + 2[a_4(pq)^2 + a_6(pq)^3] - 4[a_0a_2(pq)^2 + a_2^2(pq)^3] \\ &= pq^2 + (pq)^2 = pq^2(p+1) \end{aligned}$$

which confirms the result on $r_{2,6}$. Concerning the conditioned cases, we have

$$r^0_{0,6} = r^0_{2,6} = r^0_{4,6} = r^0_{6,6} = 5p^3q^3,$$
$$r^+_{0,6} = r^+_{1,6} = r^+_{3,6} = r^+_{5,6} = 0, \quad r^+_{2,6} = 2p^4q^2, \quad r^+_{4,6} = p^4q(3q+1), \quad r^+_{6,6} = p^4(5q^2+3q+1).$$

8.7 Case $n = 7$

For the unconditioned case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{0,7} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0, S_3 \leqslant 0, S_4 \leqslant 0, S_5 \leqslant 0, S_6 \leqslant 0, S_7 \leqslant 0\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -4\} \\ &= q^4(5p^3 + 5p^2 + 3p + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,7} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\ &= 5p^4q^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,7} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=-2,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=-2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=-2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=-2,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=-2,S_5=-1,S_6=-2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=-2,S_5=-3\}\\
&= pq^4(5p^2+3p+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{3,7} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=-2,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=-2,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=-2,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=2\}\\
&= p^4q^2(5q+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability distribution of T_7 is given by

$$
r_{0,7}=q^4(5p^3+5p^2+3p+1),\quad r_{1,7}=5p^4q^3,\quad r_{2,7}=pq^4(5p^2+3p+1),\quad r_{3,7}=p^4q^2(5q+2),\\
r_{4,7}=p^2q^4(5p+2),\quad r_{5,7}=p^4q(5q^2+3q+1),\quad r_{6,7}=5p^3q^4,\quad r_{7,7}=p^4(5q^3+5q^2+3q+1).
$$

As a check, (5.20) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0,7} &= 1-2p[a_0+a_2pq+a_4(pq)^2+a_6(pq)^3]\\
&= r_{0,5}-5p^4q^3=q^3(2p^2+2p+1-5p^4)\\
&= q^3(1-p)(5p^3+5p^2+3p+1)=q^4(5p^3+5p^2+3p+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which confirms the result on $r_{0,7}$. On the other hand, (5.6) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,7} &= 2q[a_2pq+a_4(pq)^2+a_6(pq)^3]-4[a_0a_2(pq)^2+(a_0a_4+a_2^2)(pq)^3]\\
&= r_{2,5}+5p^3q^4-3p^3q^3=pq^3(2p+1+5p^2q-3p^2)\\
&= pq^3((1-p)(1+3p)+5p^2q)=pq^4(5p^2+3p+1)\\
r_{3,7} &= 2p[a_4(pq)^2+a_6(pq)^3]-4a_0a_4(pq)^3\\
&= 2p^3q^2+5p^4q^3-2p^3q^3=2p^4q^2+5p^4q^3=p^4q^2(5q+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

which confirms the results on $r_{2,7}$ and $r_{3,7}$. Concerning the conditioned case, we have

$$
r^+_{0,7}=r^+_{2,7}=r^+_{4,7}=r^+_{6,7}=0,\quad r^+_{1,7}=5p^4q^3,\quad r^+_{3,7}=p^4q^2(5q+2),\\
r^+_{5,7}=p^4q(5q^2+3q+1),\quad r^+_{7,7}=p^4(5q^3+5q^2+3q+1).
$$

8.8 Case $n = 8$

For the unconditioned case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0,8} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 \leqslant 0, S_2 \leqslant 0, S_3 \leqslant 0, S_4 \leqslant 0, S_5 \leqslant 0, S_6 \leqslant 0, S_7 \leqslant 0, S_8 \leqslant 0\} \\
&= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -4\} \\
&= q^4(5p^3 + 5p^2 + 3p + 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,8} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -3, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = 1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = 1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = -2, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = 1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = 1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = -1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = 1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = 0, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = 0, S_7 = -1\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -1, S_6 = -2\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 1, S_2 = 0, S_3 = -1, S_4 = -2, S_5 = -3\} \\
&= 5p^4q^3 + 5p^3q^4 + 3p^2q^4 + pq^4 = pq^3[5p^3 + (5p^2 + 3p + 1)(1 - p)] \\
&= pq^3(2p^2 + 2p + 1).
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{4,8} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=-2,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=-2,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=-1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=2,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=-2,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=-1,S_4=0,S_5=1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=0,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=-2\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=2,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=0,S_7=-1\}\\
&\quad+\mathbb{P}\{S_1=1,S_2=2,S_3=1,S_4=0,S_5=-1,S_6=-2\}\\
&= 5p^4q^3+5p^3q^4+2p^4q^2+2p^2q^4 = 5p^3q^3(p+q)+2p^2q^2(p^2+q^2)\\
&= p^2q^2(-p^2+p+2).
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability distribution of T_8 is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{0,8}=q^4(5p^3+5p^2+3p+1),\quad r_{1,8}=0,\quad r_{2,8}=pq^3(2p^2+2p+1),\\
r_{3,8}=0,\quad r_{4,8}=p^2q^2(-p^2+p+2),\quad r_{5,8}=0,\\
r_{6,8}=p^3q(2q^2+2q+1),\quad r_{7,8}=0,\quad r_{8,8}=p^4(5q^3+5q^2+3q+1).
\end{gathered}
$$

We can check that $r_{0,8}=r_{0,7}$, $r_{2,8}=r_{2,2}r_{0,6}=r_{1,7}+r_{2,7}$, and $r_{4,8}=r_{4,4}r_{0,4}=r_{3,7}+r_{4,7}$. On the other hand, (5.7) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2,8} &= 2p[a_6(pq)^3+a_8(pq)^4]-4a_0a_6(pq)^4+2q[a_2(pq)+a_4(pq)^2+a_6(pq)^3+a_8(pq)^4]\\
&\quad-4[a_0a_2(pq)^2+(a_0a_4+a_2^2)(pq)^3+(a_0a_6+2a_2a_4)(pq)^4]\\
&= pq^2+2p^2q^3+5(pq)^3+14(pq)^4-(pq)^2-3(pq)^3-14(pq)^4\\
&= pq^2+2p^2q^3-p^2q^2+2p^3q^3 = pq^3+2p^2q^3+2p^3q^3 = pq^3(2p^2+2p+1)\\
r_{4,8} &= 2[a_4(pq)^2+a_6(pq)^3+a_8(pq)^4]-8[a_0a_4(pq)^3+(a_0a_6+a_2a_4)(pq)^4]\\
&= 2(pq)^2+5(pq)^3+14(pq)^4-4(pq)^3-14(pq)^4 = p^2q^2(pq+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

which confirms the results on $r_{2,8}$ and $r_{4,8}$. Concerning the conditioned cases, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
r^0_{0,8}=r^0_{2,8}=r^0_{4,8}=r^0_{6,8}=r^0_{8,8}=14p^4q^4,\\
r^+_{0,8}=r^+_{1,8}=r^+_{3,8}=r^+_{5,8}=r^+_{7,8}=0,\quad r^+_{2,8}=5p^5q^3,\quad r^+_{4,8}=p^5q^2(7q+2),\\
r^+_{6,8}=p^5q(9q^2+4q+1),\quad r^+_{8,8}=p^5(14q^3+9q^2+4q+1).
\end{gathered}
$$

9 Asymptotics: Brownian motion with a linear drift

In this part, our aim is to retrieve certain probability distributions related to the sojourn time in $(0,+\infty)$ of Brownian motion with a linear drift.

9.1 Rescaled random walk

We consider a sequence of random walks $(S_k^N)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ indexed by $N \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by

$$S_k^N = S_0^N + \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j^N,\ k \geqslant 1,$$

where for each $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(X_j^N)_{j\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ is a sequence of independent Bernoulli variables with jump probabilities depending on N as follows:

$$p_{_N} = \mathbb{P}\{X_k^N = +1\} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{_N} = \mathbb{P}\{X_k^N = -1\} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{N}},$$

ρ being a fixed parameter. We also define the centered random walk $(\tilde{S}_k^N)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ as

$$\tilde{S}_k^N = S_k^N - \mathbb{E}(S_k^N) = S_k^N - \rho\,\frac{k}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Let T_n^N be the corresponding sojourn time in $\mathbb{Z}^+$: $T_n^N = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j^N$ with

$$\delta_j^N = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (S_j^N > 0) \text{ or } (S_j^N = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1}^N > 0), \\ 0 & \text{if } (S_j^N < 0) \text{ or } (S_j^N = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1}^N < 0). \end{cases}$$

Let us introduce the rescaled random walks

$$B_t^N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\, S_{[Nt]}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B}_t^N = B_t^N - \mathbb{E}(B_t^N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\, \tilde{S}_{[Nt]}^N$$

defined on continuous time $t \geqslant 0$. We have

$$B_t^N = \tilde{B}_t^N + \rho\,\frac{[Nt]}{N},\ t \geqslant 0.$$

Donsker's theorem (see, e.g., [1, p. 68]) asserts that the sequence of processes $(\tilde{B}_t^N)_{t\geqslant 0}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, weakly converges to the standard linear Brownian motion $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ and the sequence of processes $(\tilde{B}_t^N)_{t\geqslant 0}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, weakly converges to the drifted Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ defined as

$$B_t = \tilde{B}_t + \rho t,\ t \geqslant 0.$$

We now introduce the sojourn times in $\mathbb{R}^+$ of the processes $(B_t^N)_{t\geqslant 0}$ and $(B_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$:

$$\mathbf{T}_t^N = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(B_s^N)\,ds \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T}_t = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(B_s)\,ds.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_t^N &= \sum_{j=0}^{[Nt]} \int_{j/N}^{(j+1)/N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_j^N)\,ds - \int_t^{([Nt]+1)/N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_{[Nt]}^N)\,ds \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{[Nt]} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_j^N) - \Big(\frac{[Nt]+1}{N} - t\Big)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_{[Nt]}^N) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\,T_{[Nt]}^N + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]} \Big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_j^N) - \delta_j^N\Big) + \frac{1}{N}\,\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_0^N) - \Big(\frac{[Nt]+1}{N} - t\Big)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_{[Nt]}^N). \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

We compare the sojourn time of the random walk $(S_k^N)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and that of the Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$:

$$\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} - \mathbf{T}_t = \left(\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} - \mathbf{T}^N_t\right) + (\mathbf{T}^N_t - \mathbf{T}_t)\,.$$

On one hand, for $j \geqslant 1$,

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_j^N) - \delta_j^N = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (S_j^N \neq 0) \text{ or } (S_j^N = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1}^N > 0), \\ 1 & \text{if } S_j^N = 0 \text{ and } S_{j-1}^N < 0, \end{cases}$$

and then,

$$|\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(S_j^N) - \delta_j^N| \leqslant \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(S_j).$$

On the other hand, by (9.1), the following estimate holds:

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} - \mathbf{T}^N_t\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]} \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(S_j^N) + \frac{2}{N}.$$

We observe, by (2.1), that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]} \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(S_j^N)\right] \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_j^N = 0\} \leqslant \sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}} b_j (p_N q_N)^{j/2} = \frac{1}{A(p_N q_N)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\rho} = o(N)$$

which implies that $\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} - \mathbf{T}_t$ tends to 0 in mean. Now, by Donsker's theorem, the sequence $(\mathbf{T}_t^N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ weakly converges to $\mathbf{T}_t$ (see [1, p. 72]). This discussion shows that $\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} - \mathbf{T}_t$ converges to 0 in mean. As a result, the probability distribution of $\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]}$ converges to that of $\mathbf{T}_t$. In the following subsection, we compute this limit.

9.2 Limiting distribution of the sojourn time

Theorem 9.1 *The probability distribution function of the sojourn time $\mathbf{T}_t$ admits the following expression: for $0 < s < t$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t \in ds\}/ds = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left[\rho + \dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}\displaystyle\int_s^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz\right]\displaystyle\int_{t-s}^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz & \text{if } \rho \geqslant 0, \\ \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left[|\rho| + \dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}\displaystyle\int_{t-s}^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz\right]\displaystyle\int_s^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz & \text{if } \rho \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$$

The integral $\int_\sigma^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz$ can be expressed by means of the error function according as

$$\int_\sigma^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\,e^{-\rho^2\sigma/2} - \sqrt{2\pi}\,\rho\,\mathrm{Erfc}(\rho\sqrt{\sigma/2}).$$

Let us point out that the density of $\mathbf{T}_t$ is known under another form, see formula 2.1.4.8 in [2].

Proof. We shall assume that $\rho \geqslant 0$, the case $\rho \leqslant 0$ being quite similar. We begin by computing the limit of the following probability as $N \to \infty$:

$$\mathbb{P}\{s_1 < \frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant s_2\} = \mathbb{P}\{[Ns_1] < T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant [Ns_2]\} = \sum_{k=[Ns_1]+1}^{[Ns_2]} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k\}.$$

• *Case where $[Nt]$ is even.* Suppose that $[Nt]$ is even and set $\alpha_i^N = a_i(p_N q_N)^{i/2}$ with $p_N q_N = \frac{1}{4}(1-\rho^2/N)$. By using (5.20) and (5.24), for even integer k such that $[Ns_1] < k \leqslant [Ns_2]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k\} &= \mathbb{P}\{T^N_k = k\}\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]-k} = 0\} \\ &= \Big[1 - q_N \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ 0\leqslant i\leqslant k-1}} \alpha_i^N\Big]\Big[1 - p_N \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ 0\leqslant i\leqslant [Nt]-k-1}} \alpha_i^N\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Since ρ is assumed to be non negative, we have by (2.1)

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}} \alpha_i^N = \frac{1 - A(\sqrt{p_N q_N})}{2p_N q_N} = \frac{1 - |p_N - q_N|}{2p_N q_N} = \frac{1}{p_N},$$

and we can rewrite (9.2) as

$$\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k\} = \Big[1 - \frac{q_N}{p_N} + q_N \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}} \alpha_i^N\Big]\Big[p_N \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant [Nt]-k}} \alpha_i^N\Big]. \tag{9.3}$$

We aim to evaluate the limit of the foregoing quantity as $N\to\infty$. For this, we need an asymptotic for the sum $\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}} \alpha_i^N$ as $k, N\to\infty$ with $c_1 N \leqslant k \leqslant c_2 N$ for any $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

Lemma 9.2 *The following asymptotics holds: for any $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 < c_2$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}} \alpha_i^N \underset{\substack{k,N\to\infty\\ c_1 N\leqslant k\leqslant c_2 N}}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \int_{k/N}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\, dz. \tag{9.4}$$

The proof of this lemma is postponed to the appendix. Set, for $z > 0$ and $s \in (0, t)$,

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(s,t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\Big[\rho + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_s^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz\Big] \int_{t-s}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz.$$

In the light of (9.3) and (9.4), for even k such that $[Ns_1] < k \leqslant [Ns_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k\} &\underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{E}}}{\sim} \Big[\frac{2\rho}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} \int_{k/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz\Big]\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} \int_{([Nt]-k)/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz\Big] \\ &\underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{E}}}{\sim} \frac{1}{N}\, \psi(k/n).\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\mathbb{P}\{s_1 < \frac{1}{N} T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant s_2\} \underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{E}}}{\sim} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{E}:\\ [Ns_1]\leqslant k\leqslant [Ns_2]}} \psi(k/N) \underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{E}}}{\to} \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \psi(s,t)\, ds.$$

• *Case where $[Nt]$ is odd.*

Assume that $[Nt]$ is odd. Then, by invoking (5.38), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\{s_1 < \frac{1}{N} T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant s_2\} = \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{E}:\\ [Ns_1]<k\leqslant [Ns_2]}} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k\} + \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{O}:\\ [Ns_1]<k\leqslant [Ns_2]}} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k\}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{E}:\\ [Ns_1]<k\leqslant[Ns_2]}} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]}=k\} + \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{E}:\\ [Ns_1]+1<k\leqslant[Ns_2]+1}} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]}=k-1\}\\
&= \sum_{k=[Ns_1]+1}^{[Ns_2]} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]+1}=k\} + \varepsilon_{_N}\\
&= \mathbb{P}\{[Ns_1] < T^N_{[Nt]+1} \leqslant [Ns_2]\} + \varepsilon_{_N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{_N} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}([Ns_2])\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]}=[Ns_2]\} - \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}([Ns_1])\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]}=[Ns_1]\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\varepsilon_{_N}| &\leqslant \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]}=[Ns_1]\} + \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]}=[Ns_2]\}\\
&\leqslant \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]+1}\in\{[Ns_1],[Ns_1]+1\}\} + \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]+1}\in\{[Ns_2],[Ns_2]+1\}\}\\
&\underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{O}}}{\sim} \frac{1}{N}\left[\psi\Big(\frac{[Ns_1]}{N}\Big)+\psi\Big(\frac{[Ns_1]+1)}{N}\Big)+\psi\Big(\frac{[Ns_2]}{N}\Big)+\psi\Big(\frac{[Ns_2]+1)}{N}\Big)\right]\\
&\underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{O}}}{\sim} \frac{2}{N}\left[\psi(s_1)+\psi(s_1)\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $[Nt]$ is odd, $[Nt]+1$ is even and we can use the foregoing analysis. Hence,

$$
\mathbb{P}\{s_1 \leqslant \frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant s_2\} \underset{\substack{N\to\infty\\ [Nt]\in\mathcal{O}}}{\sim} \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_1}^{s_2}\psi(s,t)\,ds.
$$

We know that $\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} \underset{N\to\infty}{\to} \mathbf{T}_t$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}\{s_1 \leqslant \mathbf{T}_t \leqslant s_2\} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_1}^{s_2}\psi(s,t)\,ds \quad\text{and}\quad \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t\in ds\}/ds = \frac{1}{2}\,\psi(s,t)
$$

which ends up the proof of Theorem 9.1. ■

For $\rho = 0$, we retrieve the famous Paul Lévy's arcsine law for standard Brownian motion: for $s\in(0,t)$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t\in ds\}/ds = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{s(t-s)}}.
$$

Remark 9.3 Let t tend to ∞ in the formula of Theorem 9.1. The limiting random variable $\mathbf{T}_\infty$ denotes the total sojourn time in $\mathbb{R}^+$ and its probability distribution is given by

$$
\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_\infty\in ds\}/ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \rho\geqslant 0,\\ \dfrac{|\rho|}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\displaystyle\int_s^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz & \text{if } \rho<0.\end{cases}
$$

From this, we deduce $\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_\infty=\infty\}=1$ if $\rho\geqslant 0$. If $\rho<0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_\infty<\infty\} = \frac{|\rho|}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_0^\infty ds\int_s^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz = \frac{|\rho|}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{\sqrt{z}}\,dz = 1.
$$

This is in good accordance with the effect of the drift near infinity. ■

Theorem 9.4 *The probability distribution functions of* $\mathbf{T}_t\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(B_t)$ *and* $\mathbf{T}_t\mathbb{1}_{(0,+\infty)}(B_t)$ *admit the following expressions: for* $0<s<t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t\in ds, B_t<0\}/ds &= \frac{\rho^-}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_s^t \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_s^t \frac{e^{-\rho^2 u/2}}{u^{3/2}}\,du\int_{t-u}^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 v/2}}{v^{3/2}}\,dv,\\
\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t\in ds, B_t>0\}/ds &= \frac{\rho^+}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{t-s}^t \frac{e^{-\rho^2 z/2}}{z^{3/2}}\,dz + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{t-s}^t \frac{e^{-\rho^2 u/2}}{u^{3/2}}\,du\int_{t-u}^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho^2 v/2}}{v^{3/2}}\,dv.
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. Set $\alpha_i = a_i(pq)^{i/2}$. We first begin by rewriting $r^-_{k,n}$ as follows. By (7.3), we have

$$r^-_{k,n} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\Big[2q \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}} \alpha_i - 4pq \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} \alpha_j\alpha_{i-j}\Big)\Big].$$

The double sum of the foregoing expression can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant i-k}} \alpha_j\alpha_{i-j}\Big) &= \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-k-2}} \alpha_j\Big(\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j+k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_{i-j}\Big) = \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-k-2}} \alpha_j\Big(\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-j-2}} \alpha_i\Big) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_i\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\leqslant n-i-2}} \alpha_j\Big) = \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_i\Big(\sum_{j\in\mathcal{E}} \alpha_j - \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant n-i-1}} \alpha_j\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(p\vee q)} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_i - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_i\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant n-i-1}} \alpha_j\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$r^-_{k,n} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\Big[2q\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}(n)\alpha_{n-1} + 2(q-p)^+ \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_i + 4pq \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant n-2}} \alpha_i\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant n-i-1}} \alpha_j\Big)\Big].$$

Recall that $\alpha^N_i = a_i(p_Nq_N)^{i/2}$. We now search an asymptotic for the following probability:

$$\mathbb{P}\{s_1 < \frac{1}{N}\, T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant s_2, B^N_t < 0\} = \sum_{k=[Ns_1]+1}^{[Ns_2]} \mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k, S_{[Nt]} < 0\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k, S_{[Nt]} < 0\} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(k)\Big[&2q_N\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}([Nt])\alpha^N_{[Nt]-1} + 2(q_N-p_N)^+ \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant [Nt]-2}} \alpha^N_i \\
&+ 4p_Nq_N \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant [Nt]-2}} \alpha^N_i\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant [Nt]-i-1}} \alpha^N_j\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that, as $N\to\infty$,

$$(q_N-p_N)^+ \sim \frac{2\rho^-}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad 4p_Nq_N \sim 1, \quad 2q_N\alpha^N_{[Nt]-1} = \mathcal{O}(N^{-3/2}),$$

and invoking (9.4), we get, for $k\in\mathcal{E}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k, S_{[Nt]} < 0\} \sim{}& 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\,\frac{\rho^-}{N^2} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant [Nt]-2}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \\
&+ \frac{2}{\pi N^3} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant [Nt]-2}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant [Nt]-i-1}} \varphi\Big(\frac{j}{N}\Big). \qquad (9.5)
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily see that

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant [Nt]-2}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \sim \frac{N}{2}\int_{k/N}^t \varphi(z)\,dz \qquad (9.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant[Nt]-i-1}} \varphi\Big(\frac{j}{N}\Big) \sim \frac{N}{2}\int_{([Nt]-i-1)/N}^{\infty} \varphi(v)\,dv \sim \frac{N}{2}\int_{t-i/N}^{\infty} \varphi(v)\,dv.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant[Nt]-2}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathcal{E}:\\ j\geqslant[Nt]-i-1}} \varphi\Big(\frac{j}{N}\Big) &\sim \frac{N}{2}\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant[Nt]-2}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big)\int_{t-i/N}^{\infty}\varphi(v)\,dv\\ &\sim \frac{N^2}{4}\int_{k/N}^{t}\varphi(u)\,du\int_{t-u}^{\infty}\varphi(v)\,dv. \qquad (9.7)\end{aligned}$$

Put now

$$\chi^{\pm}(s,t) = \frac{2\rho^{\pm}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_s^t \varphi(z)\,dz + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_s^t \varphi(u)\,du\int_{t-u}^{\infty}\varphi(v)\,dv.$$

In the light of (9.5), (9.6) and (9.7), we derive

$$\mathbb{P}\{T^N_{[Nt]} = k, S_{[Nt]} < 0\} \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{N}\,\chi^-(k/N,t)$$

and finally

$$\mathbb{P}\{s_1 < \frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]} \leqslant s_2, B^N_t < 0\} \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{k\in\mathcal{E}:\\ [Ns_1]<k\leqslant[Ns_2]}} \chi^-(k/N,t) \underset{N\to\infty}{\to} \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_1}^{s_2}\chi^-(s,t)\,ds.$$

Since $\frac{1}{N}\,T^N_{[Nt]}\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(B^N_t) \underset{N\to\infty}{\to} \mathbf{T}_t\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(B_t)$, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}\{s_1 \leqslant \mathbf{T}_t \leqslant s_2, B_t < 0\} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_1}^{s_2}\chi^-(s,t)\,ds \quad\text{and}\quad \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t \in ds, B_t < 0\}/ds = \frac{1}{2}\,\chi^-(s,t).$$

We can prove in a quite similar way that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t \in ds, B_t > 0\}/ds = \frac{1}{2}\,\chi^+(t-s,t).$$

This last result can be deduced also from the previous one by invoking a duality argument related to drifted Brownian motion. Indeed, setting more precisely $B_t = B^{\rho}_t$ and $\mathbf{T}_t = \mathbf{T}^{\rho}_t$, it can be easily seen that $(B^{\rho}_t, \mathbf{T}^{\rho}_t)$ and $(-B^{-\rho}_t, t - \mathbf{T}^{-\rho}_t)$ have the same distributions. This explains why the term $\chi^-(s,t)$ is changed into $\chi^+(t-s,t)$. The proof of Theorem 9.4 is finished. ■

For $\rho = 0$, we retrieve the well-known results for standard Brownian motion: for $s \in (0,t)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t \in ds, B_t < 0\}/ds = \frac{1}{\pi t}\sqrt{\frac{t-s}{s}} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{T}_t \in ds, B_t > 0\}/ds = \frac{1}{\pi t}\sqrt{\frac{s}{t-s}}.$$

10 Appendix

Proof of Lemma 9.2. The main idea is roughly speaking that, referring to Stirling formula for a_i,

$$a_i = \frac{1}{i+2}\binom{i}{i/2} \underset{\substack{i\to\infty\\ i\in\mathcal{E}}}{\sim} \frac{2^{i+1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\,i^{3/2}} \quad\text{and}\quad (p_N q_N)^{i/2} = \Big[\frac{1}{4}\Big(1-\frac{\rho^2}{N}\Big)\Big]^{i/2} \underset{\substack{i,N\to\infty\\ i/N^2\to 0}}{\sim} \frac{1}{2^i}\,e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}.$$

Then, recalling that $\alpha_i^N = a_i (p_N q_N)^{i/2}$,

$$\alpha_i^N \underset{\substack{i,N\to\infty \\ i/N^2\to 0}}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}}{i^{3/2}}$$

and next

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \alpha_i^N \underset{\substack{k,N\to\infty \\ c_1 N\leqslant k\leqslant c_2 N}}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \frac{e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}}{i^{3/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\, N^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \varphi(i/N) \underset{\substack{k,N\to\infty \\ c_1 N\leqslant k\leqslant c_2 N}}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \int_{k/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz.$$

For making the things more precise, let us write that

$$\Big(1-\frac{\rho^2}{N}\Big)^{i/2} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\,\ln\Big(1-\frac{\rho^2}{N}\Big)\right] = e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}\,\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\Big(\ln\Big(1-\frac{\rho^2}{N}\Big)+\frac{\rho^2}{N}\Big)\right]$$

from which we see that

$$\Big(1-\frac{\rho^2}{N}\Big)^{i/2} \underset{\substack{i,N\to\infty \\ i/N^2\to 0}}{\sim} e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}.$$

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an integer N_0 such that, for any $N \geqslant N_0$, for any $i, k \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $c_1 N \leqslant k \leqslant i \leqslant N^{3/2}$,

$$\alpha_i^N \geqslant (1-\varepsilon)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\, i^{3/2}}\, e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}$$

and for any $i, k \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $c_1 N \leqslant k \leqslant i \wedge (c_2 N)$,

$$\alpha_i^N \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\, i^{3/2}}\, e^{-\rho^2 i/(2N)}.$$

Then, for any $N \geqslant N_0$ and any k such that $c_1 N \leqslant k \leqslant c_2 N$,

$$(1-\varepsilon)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\, N^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ k\leqslant i\leqslant N^{3/2}}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \leqslant \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \alpha_i^N \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\, N^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big). \tag{10.1}$$

Remarking that φ is decreasing, we have

$$\frac{N}{2}\int_{i/N}^{(i+2)/N} \varphi(z)\, dz \leqslant \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \leqslant \frac{N}{2}\int_{(i-2)/N}^{i/N} \varphi(z)\, dz.$$

Then

$$\frac{N}{2}\int_{k/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz \leqslant \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \leqslant \varphi\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big) + \frac{N}{2}\int_{k/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz$$

which shows, since we plainly have for $c_1 N \leqslant k \leqslant c_2 N$, $\varphi(k/N) = o(N\int_{k/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz)$, that

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \underset{\substack{k,N\to\infty \\ c_1 N\leqslant k\leqslant c_2 N}}{\sim} \frac{N}{2}\int_{k/N}^{\infty} \varphi(z)\, dz. \tag{10.2}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ k\leqslant i\leqslant N^{3/2}}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) = \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i\geqslant k}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) - \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}: \\ i> N^{3/2}}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big). \tag{10.3}$$

The last sum in (10.3) can be estimated as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i>N^{3/2}}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \leqslant \frac{N}{2}\int_{\sqrt{N}}^{\infty}\varphi(z)\,dz + \varphi\Big(\frac{[N^{3/2}]}{N}\Big) + \varphi\Big(\frac{[N^{3/2}]+1}{N}\Big)$$

$$\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{N}{2}\int_{\sqrt{N}}^{\infty}\varphi(z)\,dz \underset{N\to\infty}{=} o(N). \tag{10.4}$$

Putting (10.2) and (10.4) into (10.3), we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ k\leqslant i\leqslant N^{3/2}}} \varphi\Big(\frac{i}{N}\Big) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{N}{2}\int_{k/N}^{\infty}\varphi(z)\,dz \tag{10.5}$$

and next putting (10.2) and (10.5) into (10.1), we find, for N large enough and $c_1 N \leqslant k \leqslant c_2 N$, that

$$(1-2\varepsilon)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\,N}\int_{k/N}^{\infty}\varphi(z)\,dz \leqslant \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathcal{E}:\\ i\geqslant k}}\alpha_i^N \leqslant (1+2\varepsilon)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\,N}\int_{k/N}^{\infty}\varphi(z)\,dz$$

from which we finally deduce (9.4). ■

References

[1] Billingsley, P. Convergence of probability measures. John Wiley & Sons, 1968.

[2] Borodin, A.-N. & Salminen, P. Handbook of Brownian motion—facts and formulae. Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996.

[3] Chung, K.-L. & Feller, W. On fluctuations in coin-tossings, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 605–608.

[4] Cammarota, V., Lachal, A. and Orsingher, E. Some Darling-Siegert relationships connected with random flights, Stat. Probab. Letters 79, no. 2 (2009), 243–254.

[5] Feller, W. An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. I. Third edition. John Wiley & Sons, 1968.

[6] Rényi, A. Calcul des probabilités. Dunod, 1966.

[7] Sparre Andersen, E. On the number of positive sums of random variables, Skand. Aktuarietidskrift (1949), 27–36.

[8] Sparre Andersen, E. On the fluctuations of sums of random variables. I-II, Math. Scand. 1 (1953), 263–285; 2 (1954), 195–223.

[9] Spitzer, F. Principles of random walk. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 34. Springer-Verlag, 1976.